## Triassic stratigraphy and paleontology on the Fort Wingate quadrangle, west-central New Mexico

Spencer G. Lucas, Andrew B. Heckert, and Orin J. Anderson

New Mexico Geology, v. 19, n. 2 pp. 33-42, Print ISSN: 0196-948X, Online ISSN: 2837-6420. https://doi.org/10.58799/NMG-v19n2.33

Download from: https://geoinfo.nmt.edu/publications/periodicals/nmg/backissues/home.cfml?volume=19&number=2

*New Mexico Geology* (NMG) publishes peer-reviewed geoscience papers focusing on New Mexico and the surrounding region. We aslo welcome submissions to the Gallery of Geology, which presents images of geologic interest (landscape images, maps, specimen photos, etc.) accompanied by a short description.

Published quarterly since 1979, NMG transitioned to an online format in 2015, and is currently being issued twice a year. NMG papers are available for download at no charge from our website. You can also <u>subscribe</u> to receive email notifications when new issues are published.

New Mexico Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources New Mexico Institute of Mining & Technology 801 Leroy Place Socorro, NM 87801-4796

https://geoinfo.nmt.edu



This page is intentionally left blank to maintain order of facing pages.

# New Mexico

Science and Service



### Triassic stratigraphy and paleontology on the Fort Wingate quadrangle, west-central New Mexico

by Spencer G. Lucas, New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, 1801 Mountain Road NW, Albuquerque, NM 87104; Andrew B. Heckert, Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131; and Orin J. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, NM 87801

Abstract

Triassic strata on the Fort Wingate quadrangle are nonmarine red beds "ssigned to the Moenkopi Formation and Chinle Group. The Middle Triassic Anton Chico Member of the Moenkopi Formation is as much as 20 m of dominantly grayish-red, trough-crossbedded micaceous litharenite that disconformably overlies the Middle Permian San Andres /Glorieta Formations and is disconformably overlain by the Upper Triassic Chinle Group. The Chinle Group is as thick as 350 m and consists of (ascending): mottled strata, Shinarump Formation, Blue-water Creek Formation, Blue Mesa, Sonsela, and Painted Desert Members of Petrified Forest Formation, and Owl Rock Formation. Fossil vertebrates from the Bluewater Creek quadrangle include Buett-Formation on neria, Desmatosuchus, Stagonolepis, and a large phytosaur and indicate an Adamanian (latest Carnian) age for the formation. The Painted Desert Member is not fossiliferous locally but produces Revueltian (early mid-Norian) tetrapods elsewhere on the Colorado Plateau

#### Introduction

The Fort Wingate 71/2-min quadrangle lies in McKinley County, west-central New Mexico, about 20 km east of Gallup (Fig. 1). Triassic strata well exposed over approximately half the quadrangle are nonmarine, red-bed siliciclastics. They belong to the Moenkopi Formation (Middle Triassic) and the overlying Chinle Group (Upper Triassic). Detailed mapping and extensive studies of Triassic stratigraphy, paleontology, and sedimentation make the best-studied Triassic outcrops in westcentral New Mexico those on the Fort Wingate quadrangle. We review the current understanding of Triassic stratigraphy and paleontology in this important outcrop area. NMMNH refers to the New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Albuquerque, and UMMP refers to the University of Michigan Museum of Paleontology, Ann Arbor.



FIGURE 1-Location of the Fort Wingate 71/2-min quadrangle, west-central New Mexico and summary of stratigraphic nomenclature used on the quadrangle.

#### Moenkopi Formation

The Moenkopi Formation is as thick as 20 m on the Fort Wingate quadrangle and is dominantly grayish-red, trough-crossbedded micaceous litharenite (Lucas and Hayden, 1989). It rests with marked disconformity on the underlying Middle Perk mian San Andres or Glorieta Formations and is overlain disconformably by the Chinle Group. Moenkopi strata are generally poorly exposed on the quadrangle. The thickest outcrop is just east of NM-400 in the NE'/4 sec. 20 (unsurveyed) T14N R16W (Figs. 2, 3).

No fossils are known from the Moenkopi Formation on the Fort Wingate quadrangle. However, fossil vertebrates, especially the capitosauroid amphibian Eocyclotosaurus. found elsewhere indicate a Middle Triassic (Anisian) age (Morales, 1987; Lucas and Hayden, 1989). Moenkopi strata on the Fort Wingate quadrangle belong to the Anton Chico Member of Lucas and Hunt (1987) and are correlative to the Holbrook Member of northeastern Arizona (Lucas and Hunt, 1993a).

	Also in this issue	
NMG	S fall field conferences	pp. 42 43
City (	of Rocks State Park	p. 44
NMG	S spring meeting abstrac	ts p. 48
Publi	cations order form	p. 64
	Coming soon	
The	first 100 years of the New	v Mexico

#### **Chinle Group**

Lucas (1993a, b) raised Gregory's (1917) Chinle Formation to group status, thereby elevating its members to formational rank and its beds to member rank. He thus modified Lucas and Hayden's (1989) Upper Triassic stratigraphy in west-central New Mexico (including the Fort Wingate quadrangle) to recognize four formational rank units (ascending): Shinarump, Bluewater Creek, Petrified Forest (includes the Blue Mesa, Sonsela, and Painted Desert Members) and Owl Rock Formations. Anderson and Lucas (1993) named the McGaffey Member of the Bluewater Creek Formation, and we formalize Cooley's (1957) term Perea Bed, thus completely establishing the formal nomenclature of Chinle Group strata employed here.

#### "Mottled strata" and Shinarump Formation

In the Fort Wingate quadrangle, the Chinle Group rests disconformably on the Moenkopi Formation. This disconformity is marked by silica-pebble conglomerate and quartzose sandstone of the Shinarump Formation and by biogenically and pedogenically modified siltstones, sandstones, and conglomerates that Stewart et al. (1972) termed the "mottled strata." Shinarump strata on the Fort Wingate quadrangle are best exposed in the NE1/4 sec. 20 (unsurveyed) T14N R16W where they consist of at least 4 m (12 ft) of vellowishgray, trough- and planar-crossbedded quartzose sandstone and silica-pebble (mostly quartzite and jasper) conglomerate (Fig. 3). Elsewhere on the quadrangle, the Shinarump Formation is considerably thinner and very discontinuous (lenticular) locally (Fig. 3). The thin and discontinuous Shinarump outcrops on the Fort Wingate quadrangle are near the depositional/erosional edge of the Shinarump outcrop belt.

The Shinarump Formation is exposed widely across the Colorado Plateau and is typically much thicker and more laterally continuous than on the Fort Wingate quadrangle (Stewart et al., 1972). In southeastern Utah and northeastern Arizona, economically significant uranium oxide deposits were mined in the Shinarump Formation. Fossil plants and regional correlation indicate the Shinarump is of Late Triassic (late Carnian: Tuvalian, about 225 Ma) age (Lucas, 1993b).

The thickest "mottled strata" section in western New Mexico is on the Fort Wingate quadrangle, where these strata reach their maximum thickness of about 22 m (Figs. 3, 4A). Here, they consist of sandy siltstone, sandstone, and conglomerate that are mottled grayish purple and grayish red purple. Orange secondary gypsum nodules are locally abundant in the mot tled strata, particularly in sec. 9 (unsurveyed) T14N R16W. Numerous large-diameter cylindrical burrows (Fig. 4A) in the mottled strata were misidentified by Dubiel et al. (1987) as lungfish burrows (McAllister, 1988) and have been reidentified by Hasiotis and Mitchell (1989) as crayfish burrows. Mottled strata represent a weathering profile (cumulative pedon) developed in Moenkopi strata. Therefore, they postdate early Anisian and probably are of Late Triassic (Carnian) age. They are widely distributed at the base of the Chinle Group on the Colorado Plateau, and are also locally present in other parts of New Mexico, west Texas, western Oklahoma, Colorado, and Wyoming (Stewart et al., 1972; Lucas, 1993b).

In the SW1/4 SE1/4 NW1/4 sec. 9 (unsurveyed) T14N R16W the mottled strata are disconformably overlain by the Shinarump Formation (Fig. 3). This relationship has been observed elsewhere on the Colorado Plateau (Stewart et al., 1972; Lucas and Heckert, 1994). This indicates that the mottled Data accumulated as paleosols developed on the Tr-3 erosional surface (Pipiringos and O'Sullivan, 1978). Shinarump Formation deposits record the late Carnian infilling of scour surfaces and paleovalleys that preceded the onset of deposition of subsequent Chinle Group units (Lucas and Huber, 1994; Lucas, 1995).

#### **Bluewater Creek Formation**

Lucas and Hayden (1989) introduced the term Bluewater Creek Member of Chinle Formation for as much as 60 m of red-bed sandstone, siltstone, and mudstone that overlie the Shinarump /mottled strata in west-central New Mexico. This unit is widely exposed on the Fort Wingate quadrangle, especially on the army depot (Fig. 2). Previously, it was informally termed the "lower red member" of the Chinle Formation (Cooley, 1957, 1959; Akers et al., 1958; Stewart et al., 1972). Some workers (Ash, 1967, 1969, 1978, 1989; Repenning et al., 1969; Shomaker, 1971; Dubiel et al., 1993) applied the term Monitor Butte Member to these strata, even though they are lithologically dissimilar to the type section of the Monitor Butte in San Juan County, Utah where this unit is dominantly greenish-gray, smectitic claystone and clayey fine-grained sandstone (Stewart, 1957; Stewart et al., 1972). We prefer to recognize a lithologically distinct Bluewater Creek Formation on the Fort Wingate quadrangle and throughout west-central New Mexico (Lucas and Hayden, 1989; Lucas and Hunt, 1993a; Lucas and Heckert, 1994; Heckert and Lucas, 1996).

On the Fort Wingate quadrangle, the lower part of the Bluewater Creek Formation includes a 1.5-m-thick lenticular bed of carbonaceous shale that crops out intermittently over several tens of acres. Ash (1978) termed these beds the "Ciniza Lake Beds." Because this unit is so thin and of such limited areal extent, we follow Lucas and Hayden (1989) in abandoning the term Ciniza Lake Beds. We note also that Anderson et al. (in press) were unable to map this unit at the 1:24,000 scale of the Fort Wingate 71/2-min quadrangle. Immediately below these strata are steeply dipping sandstones of the lower Bluewater Creek Formation that Ash (1978) interpreted as syndepositional slumping that produced an angular unconformity within the Bluewater Creek Formation. Anderson et al. (1989) and Lucas and Hunt (1993a) questioned this conclusion, arguing that the apparent angular unconformity represents Quaternary slumping and/or Laramide-related deformation. However, at least some of these beds represent syndepositional deformation, as Dubiel et al. (1993) documented well (Fig. 4B).



Should be in the editor's hands a minimum of five (5) months before date of publication (February, May, August, or November) and should be no longer than 20 typewritten, double-spaced pages. All scientific papers will be reviewed by at least two people in the appropriate field of study. Address inquiries to Carol A. Hjellming, Editor of *New Mexico Geology*, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Socorro, New Mexico 87801-4796.

Published as public domain, therefore reproducible without permission. Source credit requested.

Circulation: 1,000

Printer: University of New Mexico Printing Services



FIGURE 2—Geologic map of the northeastern part of the Fort Wingate 1:24,000 quadrangle. **Psa**, Permian San Andres Formation; **Pg**, Permian Glorieta Sandstone; **Trmm**, Triassic Moenkopi Formation and mottled strata and Shinarump Formation of Chinle Group (undivided); **Trbc**, Triassic Bluewater Creek Formation of Chinle Group divided into lower (**Trbcl**), McGaffey (**Trbcm**), and upper (**Trbcu**) members; **Trp**, Triassic Petrified Forest Formation of Chinle Group, divided into Blue Mesa (**Trpb**) and Sonsela (**Trps**) Members; **Qa**, Quaternary alluvium; **Qcl**, Quaternary colluvium. Numbers in circles locate sections in Fig. 3.



FIGURE 3—Measured stratigraphic sections of the Moenkopi Formation and lower part of the Chinle Group on the Fort Wingate 7½-min quadrangle. See Fig. 2 for locations of most sections.

FIGURE 4—Photographs of selected outcrops of Chinle Group strata on the Fort Wingate quadrangle. **A**, "Mottled strata" in the SW ¼ SE¼ NW¼ sec. 9 (unsurveyed) T14N R16W; note extensive bioturbation. **B**, Flat-lying conglomerate (**2**) overlying steeply dipping sandstones (**1**) in lower Bluewater Creek Formation near "Lake Ciniza." These beds are evidence of

syndepositional slumping during the Late Triassic. **C**, Section of the bench-forming McGaffey Member (**2**) and underlying red beds of the lower member of the Bluewater Creek Formation (**1**) in NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> sec. 17 (unsurveyed) T14N R16W. **D**, Exposures of the upper Bluewater Creek Formation (**1**) and the Blue Mesa (**2**) and Sonsela (**3**) Members of the Petrified Forest Formation near the small-arms firing range on the Fort Wingate Army Depot (section 3 in Fig. 3). **E**, Close-up of petrified log (1) in conglomeratic sandstone of the Sonsela Member. **F**, Close-up of pedogeestones of the Owl Rock Formation. Note the pisolitic texture ( $\mathbf{p}$ ) and brecciated chert ( $\mathbf{c}$ ).





FIGURE 5—Measured stratigraphic sections of the upper part of the Chinle Group on the Fort Wingate quadrangle

Throughout most of the Fort Wingate quadrangle, the Bluewater Creek Formation can be divided into three members (Figs. 1, 3, 4C). The lower member is as much as 36 m of red-bed mudstones and thin sandstones. The overlying McGaffey Member, which has its type section on the quadrangle, is as much as 12 m of ledgeand bench-forming ripple-laminated sandstones (Anderson and Lucas, 1993). It forms a prominent marker bed throughout the quadrangle and can be recognized as far east as the Lucero uplift in Cibola County (Lucas and Heckert, 1994). The upper member is red-bed mudstones as thick as 30 m. The McGaffey Member pinches out locally; for example, it is absent from our Fort Wingate Gravel Pit Section (Fig. 3).

Fossil plants from the lower part of the Bluewater Creek Formation on the Fort Wingate quadrangle belong to Ash's (1980) *Dinophyton* floral zone of late Carnian age. Fossil tetrapods from the Bluewater Creek Formation, especially the phytosaur *Rutiodon*, the aetosaurs *Desmatosuchus* and *Stagonolepis*, and the large metoposaurid amphibian *Buettneria*, indicate an Adamanian age, which is latest Carnian (Lucas, 1993b; see discussion below).

#### **Petrified Forest Formation**

Most of the thickness of Triassic section exposed on the Fort Wingate quadrangle belongs to the Petrified Forest Formation, divided into the (ascending) Blue Mesa, Sonsela, and Painted Desert Members (Fig. 1). The base of the Blue Mesa Member is a grayish-white, low-angle trough-crossbedded, muddy micaceous sandstone above Bluewater Creek Formation red beds of mudstone and siltstone (Figs. 3, 4D). The dramatic contrast in lithology and color provides an easily mapped contact between the Bluewater Creek and Petrified Forest Formations (Figs. 2, 4D). Strata of the Blue Mesa Member on the Fort Wingate quadrangle are as thick as 43 m and mostly purple and greenish-gray smectitic mudstone. No generically determinate fossils are known from the Blue Mesa Member on the Fort Wingate quadrangle, but in the Petrified Forest National Park and the St. Johns area of Arizona, the Blue Mesa Member yields megafossil plants, palynomorphs, and tetrapods of Adamanian (latest Carnian) age (Lucas, 1993b).

The Sonsela Member disconformably overlies the Blue Mesa Member and forms the prominent cuestas that flank NM-400 north of the village of Fort Wingate (Fig. 2). The Sonsela is as much as 24 m of lightgray to yellowish-brown, fine-grained to conglomeratic, crossbedded sandstone with locally abundant fossil logs (Figs. 3, 4E, 5). Poorly preserved unionid bivalves (Lucas and Hayden, 1989, fig. 9F-I) are also known from the Sonsela Member on the quadrangle. The basal contact of the Sonsela is characterized by changes in lithology from underlying Blue Mesa Member mudstones to Sonsela conglomerate and sandstone. Scour-and-fill and stratigraphic relief characterize this contact. Regional stratigraphic relationships indicate the base of the Sonsela Member is an unconformity of basin-wide extent termed the Tr-4 unconformity (Heckert and Lucas, 1996). This unconformity approximates the Carnian-Norian boundary (Lucas, 1993b).

The Painted Desert Member is poorly exposed on the Fort Wingate quadrangle north of the Sonsela cuesta and is as thick as 180 m. It consists mostly of reddishbrown and grayish-red, smectitic mudstone with minor beds of resistant, laminated or crossbedded, micaceous litharenite. A laterally continuous sandstone that crops out as a persistent bench over the northern part of the quadrangle was named the Perea Sandstone Bed by Cooley (1957) in a University of Arizona master's thesis; a paper was never published, so later workers have not used Cooley's term. In contrast to Ash's (1978) Ciniza Lake Beds, this unit is indeed mappable at the 1:24,000 scale (Anderson et al., in press). Therefore, we designate unit 12 of Stewart et al.'s (1972, p. 190) NM-3b section as the type section of the Perea Bed. There, in the NW1/4 NW1/4 sec. 3 (unsurveyed) T14N R17W, they measured m of very fine to fine-grained, subangular, moderately sorted quartzarenite (Fig. 5).

These sandstones are yellowish gray, light greenish gray, and light olive gray and contain minor conglomerates. Bedforms range from horizontally laminated to lowangle trough- and planar-crossbedded.

We measured 4 m of fine- to mediumgrained laminar- to low-angle crossbedded, pale-red sandstones of the Perea Bed in the SW<sup>1</sup>/4 SE<sup>1</sup>/4 NW<sup>1</sup>/4 sec. 35 (unsurveyed), where this sandstone defends a low cuesta that is laterally continuous with the type section. Here, the Perea Bed is locally conglomeratic, with conglomerate clasts consisting of mudstone rip-ups and intraformational calcrete nodules. No extraformational clasts were observed.

Stewart et al. (1972, p. 188) noted a single indeterminate bone while measuring the section; otherwise, no fossils are known from the Painted Desert Member on the Fort Wingate quadrangle. However, the lower part of this unit produces an extensive vertebrate fauna of Revueltian (early Norian) age in the Petrified Forest National Park, Arizona (Long and Murry, 1995; Hunt and Lucas, 1995).

#### **Owl Rock Formation**

The youngest Chinle Group unit exposed on the Fort Wingate quadrangle is the Owl Rock Formation. Here, at the base of "the Hogback" along the western margin of the quadrangle it is as thick as 22 m and consists of laterally persistent beds of pale-red and pale reddish-brown calcareous siltstone, thin-bedded sandy siltstone, and light greenish gray limestone and nodular limestone (Figs. 4F, 5).

Blakey and Gubitosa (1983) and Dubiel (1989a, b) concluded that deposition of the Owl Rock Formation took place in an extensive lake. However, Lucas and Anderson (1993) argued that Owl Rock limestones on the Fort Wingate quadrangle are stage III to stage VI calcretes and thus are not of lacustrine origin. No fossils are known from the Owl Rock Formation on the Fort Wingate quadrangle, but fossil vertebrates from the unit in Arizona indicate a Revueltian (Norian) age (Lucas, 1993b).

#### Paleontology

Mehl et al. (1916) first described fossils from the Triassic strata near Fort Wingate, although Darton (1910, p. 46) earlier mentioned indeterminate bone fragments from this region. The material described by Mehl et al. (1916) was derived entirely from the Bluewater Creek Formation and included an aetosaur pelvis they named *Acompsosaurus wingatensis* and a partial phytosaur snout attributed to *Paleorhinus* sp. Unfortunately, this material is now lost. Camp (1930) also briefly mentioned indeterminate postcrania of metoposaurids and phytosaurs from the Fort Wingate area.

Ash (1967, 1969) reported on various megafossil plants from the Fort Wingate area and noted briefly the presence of an amphibian and a phytosaur in the lower units of the Chinle. Various authors made the next significant contribution to Fort Wingate area paleontology in Ash's (1978) volume Geology, paleontology, and paleoecology of a Late Triassic lake, western New Mexico. There, Ash described a large paleoflora. Numerous conchostracans were named Cyzicus (Lioestheria) wingatella by Tasch (in Ash, 1978). Isolated fish scales were attributed to the coelacanth Chinlea by Ash (1978), who also illustrated abundant vertebrate coprolites.

Plant fossils identified by Ash (1978, p. 21) include "leaves, leafy shoots, stems, spores, [and] pollen." He distinguished 27 plant taxa, the most common of which are Dinophyton (a gymnosperm), Neocalamites (pith casts), and the palynomorphs Pityosporites and Klausipollenites. Almost all plant fossils recovered from the Chinle Group on the Fort Wingate quadrangle are from Ash's "Ciniza Lake Beds" low in the Bluewater Creek Formation. The only other plant fossils known from the quadrangle are the abundant petrified logs of the genus Araucaryoxylon that weather out of the Sonsela Member throughout the region.

Hunt and Lucas (1989, 1993) reviewed the vertebrate paleontology of the Fort Wingate area in a pair of summary articles. In 1989, they noted the presence of another coelacanth in addition to Chinlea after reviewing the material published by Ash (1978). We concur with Hunt and Lucas (1989) and Lucas and Hayden (1989) that the phytosaur fossil Mehl et al. (1916) identified as Paleorhinus is generically indeterminate. Hunt and Lucas (1989) concurred with Lucas and Hayden (1989) that the lost Acompsosaurus specimen probably pertains to the aetosaur Stagonolepis robertsoni. However, Hunt and Lucas (1993) recanted and instead reassigned the Acompsosaurus specimen to cf. Desmatosuchus. We concur with the earlier decision of Hunt and Lucas (1989) and refer the Acompsosaurus material to Stagonolepis, a conclusion reached independently by Long and Murry (1995). Stagonolepis is an index taxon of the Adamanian land-vertebrate faunachron of Lucas and Hunt (1993b) and suggests that the Bluewater Creek Formation is of latest Carnian age.

As part of a master's thesis at the University of New Mexico, Heckert (1997) explored the paleontology and stratigraphy of the lower Chinle Group in west-central New Mexico. Heckert described material from a variety of new NMMNH localities; the most productive on the Fort Wingate quadrangle is NMMNH L-03251. This locality has produced numerous specimens of *Buettneria* sp., phytosaurs, *Desmatosuchus* sp., *Stagonolepis?* sp., a dicynodont, and other unidentified reptiles. Other localities on the quadrangle

have produced *Buettneria* sp. and assorted phytosaur postcrania.

The NMMNH locality L-03251 is in a heavily cemented intraformational conglomerate (Fig. 3). This horizon is approximately two-thirds of the way up from the base of the Bluewater Creek Formation, at a level roughly homotaxial with the McGaffey Member, which is not present in this area. At NMMNH L-03251, bones are found loose on the surface over an 800-m2 area, with some additional bone found in the conglomerate. The conglomerate is composed principally of reworked calcrete nodules, representing avulsion and redeposition of nearby floodplain deposits, including perhaps the preserved tetrapod material. Here we illustrate typical fossils from NMMNH locality L-03251, including metoposaurid skull fragments and postcrania, a partial ilium and pubis of the aetosaur Desmatosuchus sp. and a lateral spike that also pertains to Desmatosuchus sp. (Fig. 6).

Fossils NMMNH P-18326 and 18311 (Fig. 6C–D) are interclavicle fragments that belong to the Metoposauridae because of their deep, regular, waffle-ironlike pitting. Hunt (1993) revised the Metoposauridae, noting that among the large metoposaurids, *Buettneria* exhibits more pitting and fewer radial ridge-and-groove features over its clavicles and interclavicles than *Metoposaurus*. For this reason, we identify NMMNH P-18326 and P-18311 as *Buettneria* cf. *B. perfecta*.

The skull fragment, NMMNH P-18312 (Fig. 6A-B), also clearly represents a metoposaurid. Generic distinction of metoposaurid taxa is based principally on the position of the lachrymal relative to the orbit and the development of the otic notch region of the posterior of the skull (Hunt, 1993). Neither of these is preserved NMMNH P-18312, in but Metoposaurus and Buettneria are the only large metoposaurid taxa known from the Chinle Group. Of these, Metoposaurus bakeri is much rarer, known only from slightly older strata in Texas. Therefore, we tentatively identify NMMNH P-18312 as cf. Buettneria sp.

Specimen NMMNH P-18308 (Fig. 6F) represents a partial right ilium and pubis of Desmatosuchus sp. Although Case (1922) illustrated excellent and nearly complete material of Desmatosuchus, Long and Murry (1995) were the first to publish photographs of the ilia of this nearlv ubiquitous lower Chinle taxon. Specimen NMMNH P-18308 is very similar to UMMP 7322 (Long and Murry, 1995, fig. 91). Although the anterior process of the iliac blade is missing in the NMMNH specimen, the intact posterior process is triangular in lateral view with a transversely thickened dorsal margin. The posterior process is almost entirely posterior

39



FIGURE 6—Selected Late Triassic (late Carnian) tetrapod fossils from the Bluewater Creek Formation, Fort Wingate 7½-min quadrangle (**A–D, F–H**) and nearby (**E**). **A–B**, NMMNH P-18312, skull fragment of the temnospondyl amphibian cf. *Buettneria* sp. in dorsal (**A**) and ventral (**B**) views. **C**, NMMNH P-18326, interclavicle fragment of *Buettneria* cf. *B. perfecta* in ventral view. **D**, NMMNH P-18311, interclavicle of a juvenile *Buettneria* cf. *B. perfecta* in ventral view. **E**, NMMNH P-18308, a large caniniform tooth of a phytosaur, in labial view. **F**, NMMNH P-18308, right ilium and pubis of the aetosaur *Desmatosuchus* sp. in lateral view. **G–H**, NMMNH P-18293, right lateral scute of *Desmatosuchus* sp. in dorsal (**G**) and posterior (**H**) views. All specimens are from NMMNH locality L-03251 except **E**, which is from NMMNH L-03254. Scale bars = 2 cm.

to the ischial process. The acetabulum is deep with a welldeveloped brevis fossa. The pubis is badly damaged and incomplete but still well fused to the ilium. The puboiliac articulation is transversely broad, approximately 21/2 times wider than dorso-ventrally tall. In these features, NMMNH P-18308 fits well the criteria for Desmatosuchus and is distinct from Stagonolepis, which has а posterior process of the ilium that is quadrilateral in lateral view and possesses a more robust anterior iliac process than could have existed on NMMNH P-18308 (Long and Murry, figs. 79-80, pp. 86-87). Similarly, a variety of characters also prevent us from assigning this ilium to any of the Chinle aetosaurs, including other Longosuchus, Typothorax, and Paratypothorax (Long and Murry, 1995).

We also collected a lateral spike, complete except for part of both the dorsal and lateral flange, from NMMNH L-03251 (Fig. 6G-H). This specimen, P18293, NMMNH is typical of Desmatosuchus lateral scutes (Case, 1922; Long and Ballew, 1985; Long and Murry, 1995; Heckert et al., 1996). This scute is strongly flexed, with dorsal and lateral flanges meeting at an approximate right angle. А posteriorly recurved spike projects laterally from the scute and is round with a slightly concave posterior margin. Pitting in the scute surface is faint and randomly distributed. This specimen represents a right lateral scute of Desmatosuchus sp., probably from to the forelimb. posterior Desmatosuchus may have the longest temporal range of any aetosaur, as it is known from sediments of early late Carnian to early midNorian age (Lucas, 1993b; Lucas and Hunt, 1993b).

Other vertebrate fossils collected from NMMNH L-03251 include isolated post-crania of а dicynodont, aetosaurs, phytosaurs, and metoposaurs, reptile skull fragments, phytosaur teeth, and numerous metoposaur skull fragments. The presence of a very large phytosaur tooth (Fig. 6C) in the Bluewater Creek Formation in the Ciniza quadrangle, immediately east of the Fort Wingate quadrangle, suggests that large, heterodont phytosaurs were present in the Bluewater Creek Formation. One fragmentary dorsal centrum, NMMNH P-18328, is strongly constricted, quadrilateral in cross-section, and strongly keeled along the ventral surface. In these features it resembles Stagonolepis as described by Walker (1961) and Long and Murry (1995). Stagonolepis is an index taxon of the Adamanian land vertebrate faunachron and suggests a latest Carnian age for the Bluewater Creek Formation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS—This research was supported by the New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, the New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, the Dinosaur Society, and by Student Research Allocations Committee and Research Project and Travel Grants from the University of New Mexico. Various personnel of the Fort Wingate Army Depot and the University of New Mexico Office of Contract Archaeology facilitated our studies of Triassic stratigraphy on the depot grounds. S. Haffenfeld, S. Hayden, A. Hunt, K. Kietzke, and T. Williamson helped measure stratigraphic sections and collect fossils associated with this work. D. Heckert found the phytosaur tooth illustrated in Fig. 4. A. Hunt and C. Smith provided helpful reviews of the manuscript.

#### References

- Akers, J. P., Cooley, M. E., and Repenning, C. A., 1958, Moenkopi and Chinle Formations of Black Mesa and adjacent areas; *in* Anderson, R. Y., and Harshbarger, J. W. (eds.), Black Mesa Basin (northeastern Arizona): New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 9, pp. 88-94.
- Anderson, O. J. and Lucas, S. G., 1993, McGaffey Member of Upper Triassic Bluewater Creek Formation, west-central New Mexico: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 3, p. G30.
- Anderson, O. J., Love, D. W., and Lucas, S. G., 1989, Supplemental road log 3, from intersection of NM-602 and Bread Springs Road to Fort Wingate via Stinking Springs and McGaffey; *in* Anderson, O. J., Lucas, S. G., Love, D. W., and Cather, S. M. (eds.), Southeastern Colorado Plateau: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 40, pp. 71-73.
- Anderson, O. J., Lucas, S. G., and Maxwell, C. H., in press, Geologic map of the Fort Wingate 7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>min quadrangle, McKinley County, northwestern New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Geologic Map.
- Ash, S. R., 1967, The Chinle (Upper Triassic) megaflora of the Zuni Mountains, New Mexico; *in* Trauger, F. D. (ed.), Defiance-Zuni-Mt. Taylor Region: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 18, pp. 125-131.
- Ash, S. R., 1969, Ferns from the Chinle Formation (Upper Triassic) in the Fort Wingate area, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 613D, 50 pp.
- Ash, S. R., editor, 1978, Geology, paleontology, and paleoecology of a Late Triassic lake, western New Mexico: Brigham Young University, Geology Studies, v. 25, no. 2,95 pp.
- Ash, S. R., 1980, Upper Triassic floral zones of North America; *in* Dilcher, D. L., and Taylor, T. N. (eds.), Biostratigraphy of fossil plants: Dowden, Hutchinson and Ross, Inc., Stroudsburg, Pa., pp. 153-170.
- Ash, S. R., 1989, The Upper Triassic Chinle flora of the Zuni Mountains, New Mexico; *in* Anderson, 0. J., Lucas, S. G., Love, D. W., and Cather, S. M. (eds.), Southeastern Colorado Plateau: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 40, pp. 225-230.
- Blakey, R. C., and Gubitosa, R., 1983, Late Triassic paleogeography and depositional history of the Chinle Formation, southern Utah and northern Arizona; *in* Reynolds, W., and Dolly, E. D. (eds.), Mesozoic paleogeography of the west-central United States: Rocky Mountain Section SEPM, Denver, pp. 57-76.
- Camp, C. L., 1930, A study of the phytosaurs with description of new material from western North America: University of California, Memoir 10, 174 pp.
- Case, E. C., 1922, New reptiles and stegocephalians from the Upper Triassic of western Texas: Carnegie Institution of Washington, Publication
- 321,84 pp.

- Cooley, M. E., 1957, Geology of the Chinle Formation in the upper Little Colorado drainage area, Arizona and New Mexico: Unpublished MS thesis, University of Arizona, Tucson, 317 pp.
- Cooley, M. E., 1959, Triassic stratigraphy in the state line region of west-central New Mexico and east-central Arizona; *in* Weir, J. E., Jr., and Baltz, E. H. (eds.), West-central New Mexico: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 10, pp. 66-73.
- Darton, N. H., 1910, A reconnaissance of parts of northwestern New Mexico and northern Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey, Bulletin 435,88 pp.
- Dubiel, R. F., 1989a, Sedimentology and revised nomenclature for the upper part of the Upper Triassic Chinle Formation and the Lower Jurassic Wingate Sandstone, northwestern New Mexico and northeastern Arizona; *in* Anderson, o. J., Lucas, S. G., Love, D. W., and Cather, S. M. (eds.), Southeastern Colorado Plateau: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 40, pp. 213-223.
- Dubiel, R. F., 1989b, Depositional and climatic setting of the Upper Triassic Chinle Formation, Colorado Plateau; *in* Lucas, S. G., and Hunt, A. P. (eds.), Dawn of the age of dinosaurs in the American Southwest: New Mexico Museum of Natural History, pp. 171-187.
- Dubiel, R. F., Ash, S. R., and Hasiotis, S. T., 1993, Syndepositional deformation in the Monitor Butte Member of the Chinle Formation at Fort Wingate, NM and St. Johns, AZ: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 3, pp. G27-G29.
- Dubiel, R. F., Blodgett, R. H., and Bown, T. M., 1987, Lungfish burrows in the Upper Triassic Chinle and Dolores formations, Colorado Plateau: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 57, pp. 512-521.
- Gregory, H. E., 1917, Geology of the Navajo Country: a reconnaissance of parts of Arizona, New Mexico and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 93,161 pp.
- Hasiotis, S. T., and Mitchell, C. E., 1989, Lungfish burrows of the Upper Triassic Chinle and Dolores formations, Colorado Plateau—Discussion: New evidence suggests origin by a decapod crustacean: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 59, pp. 871-875.
- Heckert, A. B., 1997, Lithostratigraphy and biostratigraphy of the lower Chinle Group, western New Mexico and eastern Arizona, with a description of two new dinosaurs from near Fort Wingate, New Mexico: Unpublished MS thesis, University of New Mexico.
- Heckert, A. B., and Lucas, S. G., 1996, Stratigraphic description of the Tr-4 unconformity in west-central New Mexico and eastern Arizona: New Mexico Geology, v. 18, no. 3, pp. 61-70.
- Heckert, A. B., Hunt, A. P., and Lucas, S. G., 1996, Redescription of *Redondasuchus reseri*, a late Triassic aetosaur (Reptilia:Archosauria) from New Mexico (USA) and the biochronology and phylogeny of aetosaurs: Geobios, v. 29, no. 5, pp. 619-632.
- Hunt, A. P., 1993, Revision of the Metoposauridae (Amphibia:Temnospondyli) and description of a new genus from western North America: Museum of Northern Arizona, Bulletin, v. 59, pp. 67-97.
- Hunt, A. P., and Lucas, S. G., 1989, Late Triassic vertebrate localities in New Mexico: *in* Lucas, S. G., and Hunt, A. P. (eds.), Dawn of the age of dinosaurs in the American southwest: New Mexico Museum of Natural History, pp. 72-101.
- Hunt, A. P., and Lucas, S. G., 1993, Triassic vertebrate paleontology and biochronology of New Mexico: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 2, pp. 49-60.
- Hunt, A. P., and Lucas, S. G., 1995, Two Late Triassic vertebrate faunas at Petrified Forest National Park; *in* Santucci, V. L., and McClelland,

- L. (eds.), National Park Service paleontological research: National Park Service, Denver, pp. 89-93.
- Long, R. A., and Ballew, K. L., 1985, Aetosaur dermal armor from the Late Triassic of southwestern North America, with special reference to material from the Chinle Formation of Petrified Forest National Park: Museum of Northern Arizona, Bulletin, v. 54, pp. 45-68.
- Long, R. A., and Murry, P. A., 1995, Late Triassic (Carnian and Norian) tetrapods from the southwestern United States: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 4,254 pp. Lucas, S. G., 1993a, The Upper Triassic Chinle Group, western United States: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 3, pp. G2-G3.
- Lucas, S. G., 1993b, The Chinle Group: revised stratigraphy and biochronology of Upper Triassic nonmarine strata in the western United States: Museum of Northern Arizona, Bulletin, v. 59, pp. 27-50.
- Lucas, S. G., 1995, Triassic stratigraphy and chronology in New Mexico: New Mexico Geology, v. 17, no. 1, pp. 8-13.
- Lucas, S. G., and Anderson, O.J., 1993, Calcretes of the Upper Triassic Owl Rock Formation, Colorado Plateau: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 3, pp. G31-32.
- Lucas, S. G., and Hayden, S. N., 1989, Triassic stratigraphy of west-central New Mexico; *in* Anderson, O. J., Lucas, S. G., Love, D. W., and Cather, S. M. (eds.), Southeastern Colorado Plateau: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 40, pp. 191-211.
- Lucas, S. G., and Heckert, A. B., 1994, Triassic stratigraphy in the Lucero uplift, Cibola, Valencia and Socorro Counties, New Mexico; *in* Cham-

berlin, R. M., Kues, B. S., Cather, S. M., Barker, J. M., and McIntosh, W. C. (eds.), Mogollon Slope: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 45, pp. 241-254.

- Lucas, S. G., and Huber, P., 1994, Sequence strati-graphic correlation of Upper Triassic marine and nonmarine strata, western United States and Europe; *in* Pangea, global environments and resources: Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 17, pp. 241-254.
- Lucas, S. G., and Hunt, A. P., 1987, Stratigraphy of the Anton Chico and Santa Rosa Formations, Triassic of east-central New Mexico: Journal of the Arizona-Nevada Academy of Science, v. 22, pp. 21-33.
- Lucas, S. G., and Hunt, A. P., 1993a, Field guide to nonmarine Triassic strata of the southern Colorado Plateau, New Mexico and Arizona: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 3, pp. G1-G58.
- Lucas, S. G, and Hunt, A. P., 1993b, Tetrapod biochronology of the Chinle Group (Upper Triassic), Western United States; *in* Lucas, S. G., and Morales, M. (eds.), The Nonmarine Triassic: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 3, pp. 327-329.
- McAllister, J. A., 1988, Lungfish burrows in the Upper Triassic Chinle and Dolores formations, Colorado Plateau-comments on the recognition criteria of fossil lungfish burrows: Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, v. 58, pp. 365-369.
- Mehl, M. G., Toepelman, W. C., and Schwartz, G. M., 1916, New or little-known reptiles from the Trias of Arizona and New Mexico with notes on the fossil-bearing horizons near Wingate, New Mexico: University of Oklahoma, Bulletin, New Series 103, pp. 1-44.

- Morales, M., 1987, Terrestrial fauna and flora from the Triassic Moenkopi Formation of the southwestern United States: Journal of the Arizona-Nevada Academy of Science, v. 22, pp. 1-20.
- Pipiringos, G. N., and O'Sullivan, R. N., 1978, Principal unconformities in Triassic and Jurassic rocks, western interior United States-a preliminary survey: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 1035-A, 29 pp.
- Repenning, C. A., Cooley, M. E, and Akers, J. P., 1969, Stratigraphy of the Chinle and Moenkopi formations, Navajo and Hopi Indian Reservations, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 521-B, 34 pp.
- Shomaker, J. W., 1971, Water resources of Fort Wingate Army Depot and adjacent areas, McKinley County, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Open-file report, 229 pp.
- Stewart, J. H., 1957, Proposed nomenclature of part of Upper Triassic strata in southeastern Utah: American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Bulletin, v. 41, pp. 441-465.
- Stewart, J. H., Poole, F. G., and Wilson, R. F., 1972, Stratigraphy and origin of the Chinle Formation and related Upper Triassic strata in the Colorado Plateau region: U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 690,336 pp.
- Tasch, P., 1978, Clam shrimps; *in* Ash, S. R. (ed.), Geology, paleontology, and paleoecology of a Late Triassic lake, western New Mexico: Brigham Young University, Geology Studies, v. 25, no. 2, pp. 61-65.
- Walker, A. D., 1961, Triassic reptiles from the Elgin area: *Stagonolepis, Dasygnathus* and their allies: Royal Society of London, Proceedings, Series B. 244, pp. 103-204.