STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE NO. 33

PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS (Including both Dry and Wet Mechanical Sieving and Hydrometer Analyses)
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1.0 PURPOSE AND SCOPE
This Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) is based on ASTM D422-63 Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils. This SOP covers the quantitative determination of the distribution of particle sizes in soils. The distribution of particle sizes larger than 2.0 mm is determined by sieving, while the distribution of particle sizes smaller than 2.0 mm is determined by a sedimentation process using a hydrometer to secure the necessary data. It provides technical guidance and procedures to be employed for particle size analyses, including the required equipment, procedures, and personnel responsibilities.

2.0 RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

The Characterization Team Leader shall have the overall responsibility for implementing this SOP. He/she will be responsible for assigning appropriate staff to implement this SOP and ensuring that procedures are followed accurately.

All personnel performing these procedures are required to have the appropriate health and safety training. In addition, all personnel are required to have a complete understanding of the procedures described within this SOP and receive specific training regarding these procedures, if necessary.

All staff are responsible for reporting deviations from this SOP to the Characterization Team Leader.

3.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Particle size analysis is required to understand the hydrologic and structural properties of the rock pile and to estimate the soil-water characteristic curve (SWCC), which is used in modeling the seepage and stability of the rock piles. Accordingly, this SOP addresses objectives 2 and 8 in the data quality objectives outlined by Virginia McLemore for the "Geological and Hydrological Characterization at the Molycorp Questa Mine, Taos County, New Mexico".

4.0 RELATED STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

The procedures set forth in this SOP are intended for use with the following SOPs:

- SOP 1  Data management (including verification and validation)
- SOP 2  Sample management (including chain of custody)
- SOP 6  Drilling, logging, and sampling of subsurface materials (solid)
- SOP 9  Test pit excavation, logging, and sampling (solid)
- SOP 36 Sample preservation, storage, and shipment
- SOP 54  Atterberg Limits

5.0 EQUIPMENT LIST

The following materials and equipment are required to perform mechanical and hydrometer grain size analyses:

- Sieve shaker
- A series of sieves, (stainless steel sieves if chemical analyses will also be required)
Include a cover plate and bottom pan. The number and sizes of sieves used for testing a given soil sample will depend on the range of soil sizes in the material.

- Balances, sensitive to 0.01g for samples weighing less than 500g, and to 1.0g for samples weighing over 500g
- Container of known weight in which to weigh the fractions
- Paintbrush or soft wire brush, for cleaning sieves
- Sample splitter or riffle
- Hydrometer 151H or 152H model
- Sedimentation cylinder with a volume of 1,000 ml
- Thermometer with accuracy to 1°F (0.5°C)
- Timing device, a watch or clock with a second hand
- Beaker with 250ml capacity
- Containers for drying samples
- Drying oven
- Dispersing or antiflocuating agent, 4N of sodium hexametaphosphate (NaPO₄), trade name Calgon
- Distilled or demineralized water
- A mechanically operated stirring device
- Dispersion cup
- Wash bottle
- Plastic bags for different particle size fractions
- Waterproof labels and indelible pens
- Particle size fraction forms (Appendix 1)
- Parafilm
6.0 PROCEDURES

6.1 Mechanical particle size analyses

1. Fill out chain of custody forms.
2. Air-dry the sample to be analyzed (if necessary). See ASTM D 421 – Dry Preparation of Soil Samples for Particle-Size Analysis and Determination of Soil Constants
3. Split the sample using the sample splitter or the cone and quarter method and obtain a representative sample for particle size analysis. The size of the sample shall depend on the diameter of the largest particle in the sample according to the following schedule (Department of the Army, Army Corps of Engineers, 1965):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Particle Size</th>
<th>Minimum Weight of Sample (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 inches</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 inches</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 inch</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>½ inch</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finer than No. 4 sieve</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finer than No. 10 sieve</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Record the total sample weight on the sample form.
5. Select the top sieve as the one with openings that are slightly larger than the diameter of the largest particle in the sample. If chemical analysis of the sample is to be performed, stainless steel sieves must be used.
6. Arrange the series of sieves so that they have decreasing opening sizes from the top to the bottom of the stack (largest openings at the top of the stack, decreasing sieve openings through the stack, with the smallest openings at the bottom of the stack). Attach the catch pan to the bottom of the sieve stack. Place the sample in the top sieve and put the cover plate over the top of the sieve stack.
7. Place the sieve stack in the shaking machine and shake it for at least 10 minutes or until additional shaking does not produce appreciable changes in the amounts of material on each sieve screen.
8. As of August 2007, this procedure has been modified to add a wet sieving procedure (See APPENDIX VI in this SOP for the wet sieving procedure) before the dry sieving and to increase the sieve shaking time for the dry sieving from minimum 10 minutes to minimum 60 minutes. From this date on the wet sieving and the longer shaking time were used and will continue to be used for this project. This is because the shorter shaking time and no wet sieving did not yield accurate grain size distribution curves because the fines clung to the larger particles.
9. Remove the sieve stack from the mechanical shaker. Beginning with the top sieve, transfer the soil particles to a weighed container/tare (which you have already noted the weight of or for which you have tared the balance). Carefully invert the sieve and gently brush the bottom of the sieve to remove any particles caught in the screen, catching them in the weighed container as well.

Comment [LMKI]: Sam – please change the date here so it is the actual date you started using the longer shaking time and the wet sieving procedure. Also please review the wet sieving procedure in Appendix VI. Please make any changes to that as well, then send this document back to me for finalizing and posting.
Thanks, Lynne
10. Weigh the container and sample and subtract the weight of the container from the soil material retained by that sieve. Record the weight of the soil particles retained on the sieve on the data sheet.

11. Save the material from each sieve in a plastic bag labeled with the sample ID information (See the Sieve Analysis Data Sheet in Appendix 1), the size of the sieve, and the sample weight.

12. Repeat steps 7 and 8 to determine the weight of soil particles retained on each sieve, including the total amount of the material in the catch pan.

13. When finished, place approximately 125g of sample passing the No. 10 sieve in a plastic bag. Mark the bag with the sample ID and the words “Hydrometer Test”.

14. Thoroughly remix the portion of the sample retained by the No. 10 sieve with the portion passing the No. 10 sieve.

15. Place approximately 125g of the remixed sample in a plastic bag. Mark this bag with the sample ID and the words “Specific Gravity”.

16. Using the remixed portion of the sample, obtain approximately 500g of sample passing the No. 6 sieve. Place this material in a plastic bag marked with the sample ID and the words “Direct Shear”.

17. From the remixed portion of the sample, obtain approximately 250g of material passing the No. 40 sieve. Place this material in a plastic bag marked with the sample ID and the words “Atterberg Limits”.

### 6.2 Hydrometer analyses

1. Record all the identifying information for the sample on the Hydrometer Analysis Data Sheet (see Appendix 1).

2. The approximate size of sample to be used for the hydrometer analysis varies according to the size of soil particles being tested. If the soil is predominately clay and silt, use approximately 50g, but if the soil is mostly sand, use approximately 100g.

3. Weigh out a sample of the air-dry fine fraction.

4. Place the sample in a 250ml beaker and add distilled or demineralized water until the sample is submerged. Add 15ml of the dispersing agent (antiflocuant) at this time. Allow the sample to soak overnight or until all soil lumps have disintegrated.

5. At the end of the soaking period, disperse the sample further by transferring the complete sample to the dispersion cup. Wash any residue from the beaker with distilled or demineralized water so that all the sample is transferred.

6. Add distilled water to the dispersion cup, if necessary, so the cup is more than half full.

7. Place the cup in the dispersing machine and disperse the suspension for 1 to 10 minutes.

8. Transfer the solution to the sedimentation cylinder and add distilled water or demineralized water to the 1000ml mark.

9. Cover the end of the cylinder with Parafilm and shake the suspension vigorously for a few seconds in order to transfer the sediment on the bottom of the cylinder into a uniform suspension. Continue the agitation for the remainder of the minute by turning the cylinder upside down and back.
10. At the end of the 1-minute shaking period, set the cylinder on a stable surface, noting the time. Slowly immerse the hydrometer into the liquid over a period of 20 to 25 seconds before taking the first reading.

11. Take hydrometer readings after 1 and 2 minutes have elapsed from the time the cylinder was placed on the table.

12. As soon as each reading is taken, carefully remove the hydrometer from the suspension and place it in a sedimentation cylinder full of clean water.

13. Repeat steps 9 to 12 to make sure that the same readings are obtained. Many errors can occur during the first two readings. If the same values are read for 1 minute and two minutes during the second hydrometer test, resharke the sample and perform as above. Then proceed to the next steps to perform the rest of the hydrometer grain size distribution test.

14. At the end of the second 2 minutes and after each subsequent hydrometer reading, place a thermometer in the suspension and record the temperature reading on the data sheet.

15. You have already recorded the hydrometer reading at 1 minute and two minutes. Now record hydrometer readings at the following time intervals: 4, 15, 30, 60 (1 hour), 120 (2 hours), 240 (4 hours), 960 (16 hours) and 1440 (24 hours) minutes, removing the hydrometer from the suspension after each reading and placing it in a sedimentation cylinder of clean water.

16. If the dry weight of the samples is to be obtained at the end of the test, carefully wash all the suspensions into a container of known weight. Oven-dry the material, allow it to cool, weigh the sample, and record the weight on the sample form.

Selected size fractions will be submitted for bulk mineral analyses (XRD) and chemical analyses (XRF, ICP) to assess the mineralogy of the size fractions. If chemical analyses are required, then stainless steel sieves must be used for those samples.

NOTE: Wash your hands periodically. Follow normal procedures to prevent cross-contamination of grain sizes. Maintain field sample numbers throughout sample preparation.

6.3 PROCEDURAL ADDENDUM
ADDENDUM TO MECHANICAL PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSES (NO. 200 SIEVE PORTION OF SOP 33v5 PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS)

1. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF ADDENDUM
This addendum modifies part of the procedure for the mechanical dry sieving of samples and is effective as of January 22, 2007, replacing the procedure described in SOP 33vr

2. RELATED STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES
The procedure set forth in this SOP is intended for use with the following SOPs:

- SOP 1 Data management (including verification and validation)
- SOP 2 Sample management (including chain of custody)
- SOP 5 Sampling outcrops, rock piles, and drill core (solid) [Suggest deleting: method is for soil-not rock]
• SOP 6 Drilling, logging, and sampling of subsurface materials (solid)
• SOP 9 Test pit excavation, logging, and sampling (solid)
• SOP 36 Samples preservation, storage, and shipment
• SOP 54 Atterberg Limits

3. EQUIPMENT LIST

The following materials and equipments are required to perform mechanical and hydrometer analysis:

• Sieve shaker
• A series of sieves, (stainless steel if chemical analyses will also be required):
  3-in (75-mm)
  2-in (50-mm)
  1\(\frac{1}{2}\)-in (37.5-mm)
  1-in (25.00-mm)
  3\(\frac{3}{4}\)-in (19.00-mm)
  3\(\frac{3}{8}\)-in (9.5-mm)
  No.4 (4.75-mm)
  No.8 (2.36-mm)
  No.10 (2.00-mm)
  No.16 (1.18-mm)
  No.20 (850-µm)
  No.30 (600-µm)
  No.40 (425-µm)
  No.50 (300-µm)
  No.60 (250-µm)
  No.100 (150-µm)
  No.140 (106-µm)
  No.200 (75-µm)

4. PROCEDURES

4.1 Mechanical particle size analyses (No. 200 sieve)

1. Fill out chain of custody
2. Air-dry the sample to be analyzed (if necessary). See ASTM D 421- Dry Preparation of the Soil Samples for Particle Analysis and Determination of Soil Constant
3. Split the sample using the sample splitter and obtain a representative sample for particle size analysis. The size of the sample shall depend on the diameter of the largest particle in the sample according to the following schedule (Department of the Army, 1965):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Particle Size</th>
<th>Minimum Weight of Sample (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-in</td>
<td>6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-in</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-in</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5. Record the total sample weight on the sample form.
6. Select the top sieve based on the weight of the sample as the one with openings that are slightly larger than the diameter of the largest particle in the sample.
7. Arrange the series of sieves so that they have decreasing opening size from the top to the bottom of the stack (largest openings at the top of the stack, decreasing sieves opening through the stack, with the smallest openings at the bottom of the stack). Include No. 200 sieve in every stack to determine whether to run a hydrometer test on the sample as well (Any sample that has size fractions passing through the no. 200 sieve will require a hydrometer test to determine the grain size distribution of the < 75 µm fraction of the sample.). Attach the catch pan to the bottom of the sieve stack.
8. Place the sieve stack in the shaking machine and shake it for at least 10 min or until additional shaking does not produce appreciable changes in the amounts of material on each sieve screen.
9. Remove the sieve stack from the mechanical shaker. Beginning with the top sieve, transfer the soil particles to a weighed container (which you have already noted the weight of or tared the balance to). Carefully invert the sieve and gently brush the bottom of the sieve to remove any particles caught in the screen, catching them in the weighed container as well.
10. Weigh the container and sample and subtract the weight of the container from the soil material retained by that sieve. Record the weight of the soil particles retained on the sieve on the data sheet.
11. Save the material from each sieve in an envelope labeled with the sample ID information, the size of the sieve, and the sample weight. Include the same information plus the words “for hydrometer test” on the material collected from the no. 200 sieve.
12. Save the fraction passing the No. 200 sieve in a plastic bag and label it with the sample ID and the words “hydrometer test”. Make sure it is 125 g.
13. If you do not have enough material for the hydrometer test, hand shake more sample through the No. 200 sieve and add the material obtained to the material obtained from sieving to measure 125g.
14. When finished, place approximately 200g of sample passing the No. 6 sieve in a plastic bag. Mark the bag with the sample ID and the words “Direct Shear Test”.
15. Place approximately 125g of the sample passing the No.4 sieve in a plastic bag. Mark this bag with the sample ID and the words “Specific Gravity”.
16. Place approximately 125g of the sample passing the No.40 sieve in a plastic bag. Mark this bag with the sample ID and the words “Atterburg Limits”.

7.0 DOCUMENTATION

Fill out particle size analysis form (Appendix 1). Each size fraction is assigned a separate sample identification number. The first part is identical to the field identification number (reference SOP for field identification number) and is followed by a sequential two numbers, for example SSW-HRS-001-03, shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component 1</th>
<th>Component 2</th>
<th>Component 3</th>
<th>Component 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Three letter abbreviation for the three letter initials of the sample</td>
<td>Sequential four number designation,</td>
<td>Sequential two- number designation,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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In the example above, the sample ID would be SSW-HRS-0001-03.

8.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL

NMBGMR will archive all samples for future studies.

- The lab manager or other supervisory personnel experienced with the test will review the results, checking calculations, and check for consistency with the approved test methodology.
- Depending on the DQO being satisfied, a greater frequency of tests may need to be performed on a particular material. The reviewer needs to check that the frequency of tests is consistent with the DQO.

9.0 DATA ANALYSIS AND CALCULATIONS

- **Mechanical Analyses**
  a) The weight percent of the material retained on the various sieves is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Weight percent retained} = \frac{\text{air dry weight in g retained on a sieve}}{\text{air-dry weight in g of total sample}} \times 100
\]

b) Percent finer by weight is obtained by subtracting the cumulative percent retained from 100%.

c) Plot the relationship between sieve openings and percent finer by weight on a semi-logarithmic graph with the sieve openings as ordinates on the arithmetical scale, and the percent finer by weight as abscissas on the logarithmic scale.

- **Hydrometer Analyses**
  a) Correct hydrometer reading, \( R' \), by adding the meniscus correction to the actual hydrometer reading, \( R' \). Record the correct reading, \( R \), on the data sheet.

b) Calculate the particle diameter corresponding to a given hydrometer reading on the basis of Stoke’s equation, using the nomograph shown in Appendix 1.

c) Plot the results as the continuation of the grain-size distribution curve from the larger size fractions of that sample on a semi-logarithmic chart

The results of the particle size analysis are presented in the form of a grain size distribution curve on a semi-logarithmic chart. The curves obtained from the sieve analysis and hydrometer tests are joined by constructing a smooth curve between them.
10. REFERENCES
Aimone-Martin, C. T., 2003, Soil Mechanics: Laboratory Manual, Department of Mineral and Engineering, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, Socorro, NM.
APPENDIX 1

FORMS

Sieve Analysis Data Sheet

Sieve No. ______ Date: ____________

Note: Dry sieve following ASTM Separation made on No. 10 sieve.

Mass of total air-dried sample (g) ____________

Portion coarser than No. 10 (retained on No. 10):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sieve opening</th>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve No.</th>
<th>Bag Label</th>
<th>Weight Retained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-5000-58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-61</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>xxx-xxx-0000-0000</td>
<td>Pansing No. 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calculation for the portion finer than a No. 10 sieve, after hydrometer test:

Hydrometer performed by (initialed) Date ____________

Amount and type of dispersing agent used: 125 ml of sodium hexametaphosphate solution (160 g/l).

Calculation for the Hygroscopic Moisture Correction Factor

Mass of container + air-dried soil (g) ____________

Mass of container + oven-dried soil (g) ____________

Mass of container: ____________

Mass of air-dried soil sample for hydrometer analysis (g) ____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve opening</th>
<th>U.S. Standard Sieve No.</th>
<th>Weight Retained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrometer Type: 153H Hydrometer Number: A ____________ C ____________

Date Time Elapsed time (hour) Elapsed time (minutes) Temperature (°C) Actual Hydrometer Reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial #1</th>
<th>1 minute</th>
<th>2 minutes</th>
<th>3 minutes</th>
<th>4 minutes</th>
<th>5 minutes</th>
<th>6 minutes</th>
<th>7 minutes</th>
<th>8 minutes</th>
<th>9 minutes</th>
<th>10 minutes</th>
<th>11 minutes</th>
<th>12 minutes</th>
<th>13 minutes</th>
<th>14 minutes</th>
<th>15 minutes</th>
<th>16 minutes</th>
<th>17 minutes</th>
<th>18 minutes</th>
<th>19 minutes</th>
<th>20 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trial #2</td>
<td>1 minute</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>4 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>6 minutes</td>
<td>7 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>9 minutes</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>11 minutes</td>
<td>12 minutes</td>
<td>13 minutes</td>
<td>14 minutes</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>16 minutes</td>
<td>17 minutes</td>
<td>18 minutes</td>
<td>19 minutes</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial #3</td>
<td>1 minute</td>
<td>2 minutes</td>
<td>3 minutes</td>
<td>4 minutes</td>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>6 minutes</td>
<td>7 minutes</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>9 minutes</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>11 minutes</td>
<td>12 minutes</td>
<td>13 minutes</td>
<td>14 minutes</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>16 minutes</td>
<td>17 minutes</td>
<td>18 minutes</td>
<td>19 minutes</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX II, HYROMETER CALIBRATION EQUATIONS
(APPLICABLE FOR THE HYDROMETERS IN THE SOIL MECHANICS LABORATORY AT NEW MEXICO TECH.)

\[
H = -0.1917 \times R + 18.5
\]

\[
H = -0.18 \times R + 18.0
\]

APPENDIX III. TEMPERATURE CORRECTION FACTORS, \( m \), FOR HYDROMETER DATA REDUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Degrees</th>
<th>Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX IV. **Grain Size Sub Form**
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### APPENDIX V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS 1970 SIEVING PROCEDURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>HFrom</th>
<th>HTo</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Cobble</th>
<th>Gravel</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Silt-Clay</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Sandy clay, CS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clay to large cobble, CC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>280</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>280</td>
<td>320</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25% 1 cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Clay gravel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX V:

GRAIN-SIZE ANALYSIS

1. DEFINITION. Grain-size analysis is a process in which the proportion of material of each grain size present in a given soil (grain-size distribution) is determined. The grain-size distribution of coarse-grained soils is determined directly by sieve analysis, while that of fine-grained soils is determined indirectly by hydrometer analysis. The grain-size distribution of mixed soils is determined by combined sieve and hydrometer analyses. Detailed procedures for determining the grain-size distribution of soils by sieve, hydrometer, and combined analyses are given below.

2. SIEVE ANALYSIS. a. Description. A sieve analysis consists of passing a sample through a set of sieves and weighing the amount of material retained on each sieve. Sieves are constructed of wire screens with square openings of standard sizes. The sieve analysis is performed on material retained on a U. S. Standard No. 200 sieve. The sieve analysis, in itself, is applicable to soils containing small amounts of material passing the No. 200 sieve provided the grain-size distribution of that portion of the sample passing the No. 200 sieve is not of interest.

b. Apparatus. The apparatus should consist of the following:

1. A series of U. S. standard sieves with openings ranging from 3 in. to 0.074 mm (No. 200), including a cover plate and bottom pan, conforming to ASTM Designation: E 11. Standard Specifications for Sieves for Testing Purposes.* The number and sizes of sieves used for testing a given soil will depend on the range of soil sizes in the material, and the intended use of the gradation curve.

2. Sieve shaker, a mechanical unit which can produce on duplicate samples the same consistent results as those obtained by the circular and tapping motion used in hand sieving. Typical commercially available mechanical shakers are the Tyler "Ro-Tap" and the Combs and

* See page V-26 for U. S. Standard Sieve Sizes or numbers and sieve openings in inches and millimeters.
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Syntron machines; there appears to be no significant differences in the results obtained among these machines.†

(3) Balances, sensitive to 0.1 g for samples weighing less than 500 g, and to 1.0 g for samples weighing over 500 g.
(4) Paintbrush, 1 in., or soft wire brush, for cleaning sieves.
(5) Sample splitter or riffle for dividing samples.
(6) Mortar and rubber-covered pestle, for breaking up aggregations of soil particles.
(7) Oven, similar to that described in Appendix I, WATER CONTENT = GENERAL.

c. Preparation of Sample.† The material to be treated is first air-dried, after which the aggregations present in the sample are thoroughly broken up with the fingers or with the mortar and pestle. A representative sample is then obtained by dividing, using the sample splitter or riffle. The size of the sample to be used will depend on the maximum particle size in the sample and the requirement that the sample be representative of the material to be tested. The sample should be limited in weight so that no sieve in the series will be overloaded. Overloading of a sieve will result in incomplete separation with errors in the test. The following tabulation will be used as a guide in obtaining a minimum weight sample:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Particle Size</th>
<th>Minimum Weight of Sample, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 in.</td>
<td>64,000 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 in.</td>
<td>19,000 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-1/2 in.</td>
<td>5,000 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 in.</td>
<td>2,400 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4 in.</td>
<td>1,000 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 in.</td>
<td>300 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8 in.</td>
<td>150 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 4</td>
<td>50 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, CE, Sieve Analysis of Granular Soils by Division Laboratories, Engineering Study 516 (Vicksburg, Miss., October 1965).
† Clay shale materials require special preparation. See paragraph 5.
If the sample contains more than about 10% of sizes larger than the No. 4 sieve, it is generally advisable to separate the material on the No. 4 sieve, retaining both fractions for independent sieve analysis as subsequently described. If the sample contains plastic fines which tend to form hard lumps or to coat the coarser particles during air-drying, the entire sample should be placed in a pan filled with water and allowed to soak until all the soil lumps or the coatings have disintegrated, before it is separated on the No. 4 sieve. The coarser fraction and the fraction passing the No. 4 sieve including the fines and water should be retained for independent sieve analysis as subsequently described.

4. Procedure. (1) Material predominantly finer than the No. 4 sieve. The procedure for samples predominantly finer than the No. 4 sieve consists of the following steps:

(a) Record all identifying information for the sample, such as project, boring number, or other pertinent data, on a data sheet (see Plate V-1 for suggested form).

(b) Oven-dry the sample at 110±5 C, allow to cool, and weigh. If the sample weighs less than 500 g, weigh it to the nearest 0.1 g; if the sample weighs over 500 g, weigh to the nearest 1 g. Record the dry weight of the sample on the data sheet.

(c) If the sample consists of clean sands or gravels, proceed with step (f).† If the sample contains plastic fines which tend to form hard lumps or to coat the coarser particles during oven-drying, place the oven-dry sample in a pan filled with enough water to cover all the material and allow it to soak until all the soil lumps or coatings have disintegrated. The length of time required for soaking will vary from about 2 to 24 hr, depending in general on the amount and plasticity of the fines.

(d) Transfer the sample and water from the pan to a No. 200 sieve, or if the sample contains an appreciable amount of coarse

† If there is any doubt concerning the cleanliness of a sand or gravel, i.e. whether or not the particles may be coated with fines, or if the test is performed to determine whether or not a material complies with specifications, then the sample should be treated as subsequently described in steps (c) through (e).
particles, to a combined set of No. 4 and No. 200 sieves. Care should be
taken not to overload the No. 200 sieve; if necessary, transfer the sample
in increments. Wash the sample thoroughly through the sieves, discarding
the material passing the No. 200 sieve. Larger particles in the sample
may be individually washed and removed from the sieves.

(e) Oven-dry the combined material retained on the No. 4
and the No. 200 sieves and, after the sample has cooled, weigh. Record on
the data sheet in the "Weight Retained in grams" column the difference
between the original oven-dry weight and the oven-dry weight after washing. Use the washed sample for the remainder of the analysis.

(f) Select a nest of sieves suitable to the soil being
tested. The choice of sieves usually depends on experience and judgment,
and the use for which the grain-size curve is intended. Select as the top
sieve one with openings

Figure 1. Arrangement of sieves for grain-size
analysis

slightly larger than the diameter of the largest
particle in the sample. Arrange the nest of
sieves according to size as shown in Figure 1,
with decreasing openings from top to bottom.

(g) Place the sample on the top
sieve of the nest as shown in Figure 2 and put the cover plate over the top
sieve.

(g) Place the nest of sieves in the shaking machine as
shown in Figure 3 and shake them for 10 min., more or less, or until addi-
tional shaking does not produce appreciable changes in the amounts of
material on each sieve. If a shaking machine is not available, the nest of
sieves may be shaken by hand. In the hand operation, shake the nest of
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sieves with a lateral and vertical motion, accompanied by jarring, to keep the material moving continuously over the surfaces of the sieves. Jarring is accomplished by occasionally dropping the nest lightly on several thickness of magazines. The nest should not be broken to rearrange particles or to manipulate them through a sieve by hand. Hand-shaking should be continued for at least 15 min.

(b) Remove the nest of sieves from the mechanical shaker, if used. Beginning with the top sieve, transfer the contents of the sieve to a piece of heavy paper approximately 1 ft square. Carefully invert the sieve on the paper and gently brush the bottom of the sieve, as shown in Figure 4, to remove all the sample. Transfer the sample from the paper to the balance and weigh in accordance with requirements in
(1) Repeat step (b) for each sieve. The sum of the weights retained on each sieve and pan should equal the initial total weight of the sample within 1 percent. If the difference is greater than 1 percent, the sieving should be repeated.

(2) Material split on No. 4 sieve. The procedure for samples which have been split on the No. 4 sieve consists of the following steps:

(a) Record pertinent information for the sample on a data sheet (see Plate V-i for suggested form).

(b) Oven-dry the sample, allow it to cool, and weigh the fraction retained on the No. 4 sieve. Record the oven-dry weight on the data sheet. Alternatively, the air-dry weights of the total sample and the fraction retained on the No. 4 sieve may be utilized and the air-dry material retained on the No. 4 sieve used in the sieve analysis as in step (c) below. In the latter procedure, the relative percentages of materials greater than the No. 4 sieve are determined on an air-dry basis. This method is satisfactory provided the air-dry water contents of the plus and minus No. 4 portions of the sample are approximately equal.

(c) Proceed as in paragraphs 2d(4)(f) through 2d(4)(i).

In general, it is advisable to use large sieves and a Ty-Lab or Gilson shaker for the coarse fraction.
(d) If the sample has not been washed during the preliminary treatment, process the material passing the No. 4 sieve according to paragraphs 2d(1)(b) through 2d(1)(i). If the material has been washed as part of the preliminary treatment, proceed with paragraphs 2d(1)(d) through 2d(1)(i), except that the material passing the No. 200 sieve in paragraph 2d(1)(d) should be oven-dried and weighed. This weight is added to the oven-dry weight of the plus No. 200 material to obtain the total weight of sample.

e. Computations. The percentage of material by weight retained on the various sieves is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Percent retained} = \frac{\text{weight in g retained on a sieve}}{\text{total weight in g of oven-dry sample}} \times 100
\]

If the sample has been split on the No. 4 sieve during preliminary treatment and the air-dried coarser fraction sieved independently, the percent retained for the coarser fraction is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Percent retained} = \frac{\text{air-dry weight in g retained on a sieve}}{\text{air-dry weight in g of total sample}} \times 100
\]

Similarly, for the finer fraction when oven-dry weights are used:

\[
\text{Percent retained} = \frac{\text{weight in g retained on a sieve}}{\text{oven-dry weight in g of sample passing No. 4 sieve}} \times \text{percent passing No. 4 sieve}
\]

where the percentage passing No. 4 sieve is computed on an air-dry basis.

The values of percent retained based on the above formulas refer to the total weight of sample. Computation of a partial percent retained as indicated in Plate V.1 is necessary only when the sample is initially separated on the No. 200 sieve for purposes of a combined analysis, as subsequently described. The cumulative percent finer by weight than an individual sieve size (percent finer) is calculated by subtracting the percent retained.
on the individual sieve from the cumulative percent finer than the next larger sieve.

Presentation of Results. The results of the sieve analysis are presented in the form of a grain-size distribution curve on a semi-logarithmic chart as shown in Plate V-7. The grain-size distribution curve is obtained by plotting particle diameter (sieve opening) on the abscissa (logarithmic scale) and the percent finer by weight on the ordinate (arithmetic scale).

3. HYDROMETER ANALYSIS. a. Description. The hydrometer method of analysis is based on Stokes' law, which relates the terminal velocity of a sphere falling freely through a fluid to the diameter. The relation is expressed according to the equation:

\[ v = \frac{\gamma_s - \gamma_f}{1800 \eta} D^2 \]

where
- \( v \) = terminal velocity of sphere, cm per sec
- \( \gamma_s \) = density of sphere, g per cm³
- \( \gamma_f \) = density of fluid, g per cm³
- \( \eta \) = viscosity of fluid, g-csec per cm²
- \( D \) = diameter of sphere, mm

It is assumed that Stokes' law can be applied to a mass of dispersed soil particles of various shapes and sizes. The hydrometer is used to determine the percentage of dispersed soil particles remaining in suspension at a given time. The maximum grain size equivalent to a spherical particle is computed for each hydrometer reading using Stokes' law. The hydrometer analysis is applicable to soils passing the No. 10 sieve for routine classification purposes; when greater accuracy is required (such as in the study of frost-susceptible soils), the hydrometer analysis should be performed on only the fraction passing the No. 200 sieve (see paragraph COMBINED ANALYSIS).
b. Apparatus. The apparatus should consist of the following:

1. Hydrometer, calibrated at 20/20°C (68/68°F), graduated in specific gravity or grams per liter with a range of 0.995 to 1.040 and 0 to 50, respectively. The accuracy of the specific gravity hydrometer shall be ±0.001 and of the gram-per-liter hydrometer, ±1.

2. Dispersion apparatus, either of two types may be used:

   a. A mechanically operated stirring device in which a suitably mounted electric motor turns a vertical shaft at a speed of not less than 10,000 rpm without load. The shaft shall be equipped with a replaceable stirring paddle of metal, plastic, or hard rubber. Details of a typical paddle are shown in Figure 5. A special dispersion cup conforming to either of the designs shown in Figure 5 shall be provided to hold the sample while it is being dispersed.

   b. An air dispersion device such as the air-jet dispersion tube device.

Figure 5. Detail of stirring paddle and dispersion cups.
developed at Iowa State College.†

(3) Sedimentation cylinder, of glass, essentially 18 in. high and 2-1/2 in. in diameter and marked for a volume of 1000 ml.

(4) Centigrade thermometer, range 0 to 50 C, accurate to 0.5 °C.

(5) Timing device, a watch or clock with a second hand.

(6) Balance, sensitive to 0.1 g.

(7) Oven (see Appendix I, WATER CONTENT - GENERAL).

2. Hydrometer Calibration. The hydrometer shall be calibrated‡ to determine its true depth in terms of the hydrometer reading (see Fig. 6) in the following steps:

(1) Determine the volume of the hydrometer bulb, \( V_R \). This may be determined in either of two ways:

(a) By measuring the volume of water displaced. Fill a 1000-cc graduate with water to approximately 700 cc. The water should be at about 20 C. Observe and record the reading of the water level. Insert the hydrometer and again observe and record the reading. The difference in these two readings equals the volume of the bulb plus the part of the stem that is submerged. The error due to inclusion of this latter quantity is so small that it may be neglected for practical purposes.

(b) By determining the volume from the weight of the hydrometer. Weigh the hydrometer to 0.01 g on the laboratory balance. Since the specific gravity of a hydrometer is, about unity, the weight in grams may be recorded as the volume in cubic centimeters. This volume includes the volume of the bulb plus the volume of the stem. The error


‡ ASTM hydrometers 151 H or 152 H (ASTM Designation: E 400) have a uniform size; therefore, only a single calibration is required, which can be applied to all ASTM hydrometers of this type.
due to inclusion of the stem volume is negligible.

(2) Determine the area, A, of the graduate in which the hydrometer is to be used by measuring the distance between two graduations. The area, A, is equal to the volume included between the graduations divided by the measured distance.

(3) Measure and record the distances from the lowest calibration mark on the stem of the hydrometer to each of the other major calibration marks, R.

(4) Measure and record the distance from the neck of the bulb to the lowest calibration mark. The distance, H₁, corresponding to a reading, R, equals the sum of the two distances measured in steps (3) and (4).

(5) Measure the distance from the neck to the tip of the bulb. Record this as h, the height of the bulb. The distance, h/2, locates the center of volume of a symmetrical bulb. If a nonsymmetrical bulb is used, the center of volume can be determined with sufficient accuracy by projecting the shape of the bulb on a sheet of paper and locating the center of gravity of this projected area.

(6) Compute the true distances, HR, corresponding to each
of the major calibration marks, \( R \), from the formula:

\[
H_R = H_1 + \frac{1}{2} \left( h - \frac{V_R}{A} \right)
\]

(7) Plot the curve expressing the relation between \( HR \) and \( R \) as shown in Figure 6. The relation is essentially a straight line for hydrometers having a streamlined shape.

d. **Meniscus Correction.** Hydrometers are calibrated to read correctly at the surface of the liquid. Soil suspensions are not transparent and a reading at the surface is not possible; therefore, the hydrometer reading must be made at the upper rim of the meniscus. The meniscus correction, \( c_m \), which is a constant for a given hydrometer, is determined by immersing the hydrometer in distilled or demineralized water and observing the height to which the meniscus rises on the stem above the water surface. For most hydrometers it will be found that \( c_m \) is equal to approximately 0.5, and this value can be assumed for routine testing.

e. **Preparation of Sample.** The approximate size of sample to be used for the hydrometer analysis varies according to the type of soil being tested, as shown in the tabulation below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Dry Weight, g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat clays</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean clays and silty soils</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soils</td>
<td>75†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Up to 450 g of sandy soil can be used for the hydrometer analysis provided no more than 50 g of the sample is finer than the No. 200 sieve.

The exact dry weight of the sample in suspension may be determined either before or after the test. However, oven-drying some clays before the test may cause permanent changes in the apparent grain sizes. Samples of such soils should, if possible, be preserved at the natural...
water content and tested without first being oven-dried, the dry weight either
being obtained after the hydrometer analysis or computed according to
the formula:

\[
\text{Dry weight of specimen} = \frac{\text{weight of wet soil} - W_w}{100 + \text{water content}}
\]

\[
W_s = \frac{W}{1 + 0.01 w}
\]

w having been determined on an untested portion of the sample.
Furthermore, if samples are dried and weighed before the test, any loss
of material during the test will affect the results.

f. Dispersing Agent. Very fine soil grains in a suspension normally will tend to flocculate, i.e., to adhere with sufficient force that they settle together. Consequently, a dispersing agent to prevent flocculation of the soil grains during the test should be added to all samples. The following dispersing agents, listed in approximate order of effectiveness, have been found to be satisfactory for most types of soils.†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dispersing Agents</th>
<th>Stock Solution Concentration</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Sodium tripolyphosphate</td>
<td>0.4 N 29 g per liter</td>
<td>Blockson Chem. Co., Joliet, Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Sodium polyphosphate</td>
<td>0.4 N 36</td>
<td>Blockson Chem. Co., Joliet, Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Sodium tetrapolyphosphate (trade name “Quadrafos”)</td>
<td>0.4 N 31</td>
<td>Rumford Chem. Works, Rumford, R. I.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sodium hexametaphosphate (sometimes called sodium metaphosphate) adjusted to pH 8.9 with Na₂CO₃</td>
<td>0.4 N 41</td>
<td>Most laboratory chemical supply e os.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The chemical product Calgon available in grocery stores shall not be used as a dispersing agent as it no longer contains sodium hexametaphosphate. Sodium silicate shall not be used as a dispersing agent since it gives unsatisfactory dispersion while at the same time permitting flocculation to a
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point where it is not apparent to visual examination. Phosphate solutions are somewhat unstable and therefore should not be stored for extended periods of time. In most instances, 15 ml of a dispersing agent solution is adequate. However, should flocculation tend to continue, a second or third addition of 15 ml of solution may be added.

The addition of a dispersing agent to the soil suspension results in an increase in density of the liquid and necessitates a correction to the observed hydrometer reading. The correction factor, $C_{d}$, is determined by adding to a 1000-ml graduate partially filled with distilled or demineralized water the amount of dispersing agent to be used for the particular test, adding additional distilled water to the 1000-ml mark, then inserting a hydrometer and observing the reading. The correction factor, $C_{d}$, is equal to the difference between this reading and the hydrometer reading in pure distilled or demineralized water.

The addition of a dispersing agent also increases the weight of solids in the suspension. If the oven-dry weight of soil used for the hydrometer analysis is obtained at the end of the test, this weight must be corrected by subtracting the dry weight of the dispersing agent used.

**Procedure.** The procedure shall consist of the following steps:

1. Record all identifying information for the sample, such as project, boring number, or other pertinent data, on a data sheet (see Plate V-3 for suggested form).

2. Determine the dispersing agent correction, $C_{d}$, and the meniscus correction, $C_{m}$, unless they have been previously established. Record this information on the data sheet.

3. Determine or estimate the specific gravity of solids and record on the data sheet.

4. If the oven-dry weight is to be obtained at the start of the test, oven-dry the sample, allow to cool, and weigh to nearest 0.1 g. Record the dry weight on the data sheet. Place the sample in a numbered dish and add distilled or demineralized water until the sample is submerged. Add
the dispersing agent at this time. Allow the sample to soak overnight or until all soil lumps have disintegrated. Highly organic soils require special treatment, and it may be necessary to oxidize the organic matter in order to perform a hydrometer analysis on these soils. Oxidation is accomplished by mixing the sample with a solution of 30 percent hydrogen peroxide; this solution will oxidize all the organic matter. If only small amounts of organic matter are present, treatment with hydrogen peroxide may be omitted.

(5) Transfer the soil-water slurry from the dish to a dispersion cup (Fig. 5), washing any residue from the dish with distilled or demineralized water. Add distilled water to the dispersion cup, if necessary, until the water surface is 2 or 3 in. below the top of the cup; if the cup contains too much water, it will splash out while mixing. Place the cup in the dispersing machine and disperse the suspension for 1 to 10 min. The lower the plasticity of the soil the shorter the time required to disperse it in the cup.†

(6) Transfer the suspension into a 1000-ml sedimentation cylinder and add distilled or demineralized water until the volume of the suspension equals 1000 ml. The suspension should be brought to the temperature expected to prevail during the test.

(7) One minute before starting the test, take the graduate in one hand and, using the palm of the other hand or a suitable rubber cap as a stopper, shake the suspension vigorously for a few seconds in order to transfer the sediment on the bottom of the graduate into a uniform suspension. Continue the agitation for the remainder of the minute by turning the cylinder upside down and back. Sometimes it is necessary to loosen the

† A large syringe or wash-water bottle is a convenient device for handling the water in the washing operation.

‡ Air dispersion may be used in place of mechanical dispersion. A dispersion time of 10 min is recommended, using an air pressure of 25 psi for clays and silts and 10 psi for sands. Several comparative tests indicate that the air dispersion apparatus gives a higher degree of dispersion of clayey soils while causing less degradation of sands than the mechanical stirring apparatus. See: Chu and Davidson, op. cit., and U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Comparison of Dispersion Methods for Soil Gradation Analyses, Earth Laboratory Report No. EM-618 (Denver, Colo., May 1941).
sediment at the bottom of the cylinder by means of a glass rod before shaking. Alternatively, the suspension may be agitated by means of a hand agitator for one minute prior to testing. A schematic drawing of a hand agitator is shown in Figure 7. A uniform distribution of the soil particles in the suspension is accomplished by moving the hand agitator up and down through the suspension for one minute. This process also prevents the accumulation of sediment on the base and sides of the graduate.

![Diagram of hand agitator](image)

**Figure 7.** Hand agitator for hydrometer cylinder

(8) At the end of 1 min, set the cylinder on a table. If foam is present, remove it from the top of the suspension by lightly touching it with a piece of soap. Slowly immerse the hydrometer in the liquid 20 to 25 sec before each reading, as shown in Figure 8. Care should be exercised when inserting and removing the hydrometer to prevent disturbance of the suspension.

(9) Observe and record the hydrometer readings on the data sheet after 1 and 2 min have elapsed from the time the cylinder is placed on the table. As soon as the 2-min reading has been taken, carefully remove the hydrometer from the suspension and place it in a graduate of clean water. (If a hydrometer is left in a soil suspension for any length of time, material will
settle on or adhere to the hydrometer bulb and this will cause a significant error in the reading.) Again insert the hydrometer in the suspension and record readings after elapsed times of 4, 15, 30, 60, 120, 140, and 240 min, removing the hydrometer from the suspension after each reading and placing it in a graduate of clean water. Make all hydrometer readings at the top of the meniscus. For hydrometers graduated to read in specific gravity of the suspension, read only the last two figures and estimate the third. Record the indicated specific gravity, minus 1, multiplied by 1000 (example: the reading 1.0225 should be recorded as 22.5). For hydrometers graduated to read grams per liter of suspension, record the actual reading.

(10) At the end of 2 min and after each subsequent hydrometer reading, place a thermometer in the suspension and record the temperature reading on the data sheet. The temperature shall be recorded to ±0.5°C. Temperature changes of the soil suspension during the test will affect the test results. Variations in temperature should be minimized by keeping the suspension away from heat sources such as radiators, sunlight, or open windows. A constant-temperature bath provides a convenient means of controlling temperature effects.

(11) If the dry weight of the sample is to be obtained at the end of the test, carefully wash all the suspension into an evaporating dish. Oven-dry the material, allow to cool, and determine the sample weight. Subtract the dry weight of dispersing agent used from this weight to obtain the oven-dry weight of soil.

b. Computations. (1) Corrected hydrometer reading. Compute the corrected hydrometer readings, \( R_s \), for use in computing particle diameter by adding the meniscus correction, \( C_{m1} \), to the actual hydrometer readings, \( R' \). Record the corrected reading, \( R_s \), on the data sheet.

(2) Computation of particle diameter. Calculate the particle

\[ \hat{V} \]

† A final reading after 120 min is sufficient for most soils when hydrometer analysis is used for classification purposes.
diameter corresponding to a given hydrometer reading on the basis of Stokes' equation, using the nomograph shown in Figure 9. The R-scale corresponding to the distances \( R \) is prepared using the hydrometer calibration curves as shown in Figure 6. The R-scale shall be designed for the particular hydrometer used in the test. A key showing the steps to follow in computing \( D \) for various values of \( R \) is shown on the chart. Record the particle diameters, \( D \), on the data sheet.

3. Percent finer. To compute the percent of particle diameters finer than that corresponding to a given hydrometer reading, subtract the dispersing agent correction, \( C_d \), from the corrected hydrometer reading, \( R \). A temperature correction factor, \( m \), must also be added algebraically to each of the readings. This factor can be either positive or negative depending on the temperature of the suspension at the time of each reading. Obtain the temperature correction factors from Table V-i and record them on the data sheet. Record the values of \( R - C_d + m \) on the data sheet. The \( R - C_d + m \) values are used to compute percent finer according to the following formulas:

**Hydrometer calibrated in specific gravity:**

\[
\text{Percent finer by weight} = \frac{C_s}{G_s - 1} \times \frac{100}{W_s} (R - C_d + m)
\]

**Hydrometer calibrated in grams per liter:**

\[
\text{Percent finer by weight} = \frac{100}{W_s} (R - C_d + m)
\]

where 
- \( C_s = \) specific gravity of solids
- \( W_s = W_0 = \) oven-dry weight in g of soil used for hydrometer analysis
- \( R - C_d + m = \) corrected hydrometer reading minus dispersing agent correction plus, algebraically, temperature correction

Calculations for routine work can be greatly facilitated by using charts, tables, and other simplifying aids based on a given oven-dry weight of the sample and average specific gravity values for the major soil groups.
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Figure 9. Monographic chart
### Table V-1

Temperature Correction Factor, $m$, for Use in Computing Percent Finer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees $^\circ$ C</th>
<th>Degrees $^\circ$ F</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>Degrees $^\circ$ C</th>
<th>Degrees $^\circ$ F</th>
<th>Correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>+1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>+1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>+1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>+1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>+1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>+1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>+1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>+2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>+2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>+2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>+2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>+2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>88.7</td>
<td>+2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>+2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>+3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>91.4</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>75.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1. **Presentation of Results.** The data obtained from the hydrometer analysis are presented in the form of a grain-size distribution curve on a semilogarithmic chart, as shown in Plate V-2.

4. **COMBINED ANALYSIS.**
   a. **Description.** A combined analysis is necessary for soils containing material finer than the U. S. Standard No. 200 sieve when the grain-size distribution of the material passing the No. 200 sieve is of interest. A sieve analysis is performed on the material retained on the No. 200 sieve, and a hydrometer test is performed on the material passing the No. 200 sieve.

   b. **Apparatus.** The apparatus for the combined analysis is the same as that used for both the hydrometer and sieve analyses.

   c. **Preparation of Sample.** A representative sample for the combined analysis is selected and prepared in the manner described in paragraph 2c. The total amount of sample should be sufficient to yield required amounts of material for both the sieve and hydrometer analyses. A visual inspection of the sample will usually suffice to indicate the need for intermediate steps such as large screen processing for the plus No. 4 fraction, washing, etc. Samples of soils having fines with little or no plasticity are oven-dried, weighed, and then separated on the No. 200 sieve. The plus and minus No. 200 sieve fractions are preserved for the sieve and hydrometer analyses, respectively.

   Soils containing plastic fines may also be oven-dried initially. However, if the sample contains plastic fines which tend to form hard lumps or to coat the coarser particles during oven-drying, the sample is placed in a pan filled with enough water to cover all the material and allowed to soak until all the lumps or coatings have been reduced to individual particles. The length of time required for soaking will vary from 2 to 24 hr, depending in general on the amount and plasticity of the fines. The water and soil mixture is then washed over a No. 200 sieve (and No. 4 sieve, if necessary). The coarser fractions are preserved for a sieve analysis, and the soil and water passing the No. 200 sieve are preserved
for a hydrometer analysis. Excess water with the lines is removed by evaporation, filtration, or wicking. If the grain size of the plastic fines would be altered by oven-drying, the oven-dry weight of the fines is determined after the hydrometer test.

In routine testing when all soil particles are finer than the No. 10 sieve size, the hydrometer test may be performed on a total sample of known dry weight; the sample is then washed through the No. 200 sieve, and finally the sieve analysis is performed on the oven-dried fraction retained on the No. 200 sieve.

4. Procedure. The procedure shall consist of the following steps:

* (1) Record identifying information for the sample on both the sieve and hydrometer analysis data sheets (see Plate V-1).

* (2) Perform a sieve analysis on a representative portion of the sample retained on the No. 200 sieve, using the procedures described in paragraphs 2g(1) and 2g(2).

* (3) Perform a hydrometer analysis on a portion (see paragraph 3h for approximate weight) of the sample passing the No. 200 sieve, using the procedure described in paragraph 3j.

5. Computations. The computations consist of the following steps:

(1) Compute the percentage retained on the No. 200 sieve for the total sample used in the combined analysis as follows:

\[
\text{Percent retained on No. 200 sieve} = \frac{W_1}{W_5} \times 100
\]

where

\[ W_1 = \text{dry weight of sample retained on No. 200 sieve} \]

\[ W_5 = \text{total dry weight of sample used for combined analysis} \]

(2) Compute the data from the sieve analysis in the same manner as outlined in paragraphs 2g(1) and 2g(2), except that the percent retained for each sieve shall be based only on that portion of the total material used for the sieve analysis. As the amount of material used in the sieve analysis may be less than \( W_1 \), it will be necessary to compute
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a partial percent retained as follows:

\[
\text{Partial percent retained} = \frac{\text{weight in g retained on a sieve}}{\text{total weight in g of oven-dry sample used for sieve analysis}} \times 100
\]

The total percent retained is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Total percent retained} = \text{partial percent retained} \times \frac{W_1}{W_s}
\]

The total percent finer is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Total percent finer} = 100 - \text{total percent retained}
\]

(3) Compute the data from the hydrometer analysis in the same manner as outlined in paragraphs 3h(1) through 3h(3), except that the results shall be shown in terms of a partial percent finer. As in the sieve analysis, the amount of material used for the hydrometer analysis may be less than \( W_s - W_f \), therefore a partial percent finer is computed as follows:

Hydrometer calibrated in specific gravity:

\[
\text{Partial percent finer} = \frac{G}{G_s - 1} \times \frac{100}{W_o} (R - C_d + m)
\]

Hydrometer calibrated in grams per liter:

\[
\text{Partial percent finer} = \frac{100}{W_o} (R - C_d + m)
\]

where \( W_o \) = oven-dry weight in g of soil used for hydrometer analysis

Other terms were defined previously.

The total percent finer is computed as follows:

\[
\text{Total percent finer} = \text{partial percent finer} \times \frac{W_s - W_1}{W_s}
\]

\[ \textbf{f. Presentation of Results.} \] The results of the combined analysis
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APPENDIX VI. WET SIEVING PROCEDURE

This wet sieving procedure is used to remove the fine particles clinging to the larger pieces in the sample before dry sieving the sample to determine the grain size distribution.

ADDITIONAL EQUIPMENT NEEDED

- Bucket

OP 33v7 Particle Size Analysis 4/29/2008S
- Piece of hose long enough to reach sieve area and bucket with connection to fit water source you're using
- Set of no. 6, no. 10, and 200 sieves
- Extra person to help
- Spatula or similar to stir fines when 200 sieve gets clogged

**PROCEDURE**

1. Weigh container and sample in dry form first and record weights. The amount of the sample needed is determined by the largest grain size in the sample (see Table on Page 4 or Page 7 in the dry sieving section of this SOP).
2. After weighing, put sample in a pan, cover with tap water, and soak for at least an hour.
3. Stack these three sieves: No. 6, No. 10, and 200, with bucket under these three sieves.
4. Then pass sample thru these three sieves while running water to remove all of the fines, with one person holding the sieve set and the other directing the water from the hose and watching for overflow.
5. Fines may clog up the 200 sieve and cause the water to overflow, so watch the water levels and stop the water flow until the clog is removed.
6. Use a spatula or similar to stir fines clogging sieve until water can flow through it again.
7. Catch the water in the bucket.
8. Make sure all the particles are clean (run water thru until water runs clean).
9. Using the three sieves keeps the larger particles from ruining the 200 sieve.
10. Then recombine the material from the No. 6, No. 10 and 200 sieves.
11. Air dry or oven dry the sample in a pan.
12. Follow the dry sieving procedure in this SOP from here.