**New Mexico Bureau Mines and Mineral Resources Open File Report No. 273** 

### **HYDROCARBON SOURCE-ROCK ANALYSES.**  AMOCO PRODUCTION COMPANY NO. 1 BAKER WELL, **QUAY COUNTY, NEW MEXICO**

**By GeoChem Laboratories, Inc. and Chevron U.S.A., Inc.** 

**1987** 



GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES 2004 2 MM /303 CRUDE OIL CHARACTER'ZATION

**SOURCE ROCK EVALUATION CONTROL CONTROLLY PROSPECTING** 

د په

*CRUDE OIL-SOURCE ROCK CORRELATION* 

**DTWHeM lABORATORle.9. INC. 14007-H EAST** *FASTER* **AVENUE ENGLEW00Q.CQLQRhDQ EO111 PHONE (303) 8\*3-0220** 

Read 5 March 1984

. **20** January **<sup>1984</sup>**

Mr. Steve Jacobson CHEVRON. **USA, INC. 700 S.** Colorado Blvd., **Box 599**  Denver, CO **80201** 

Dear *MF.* Jacobson:

Please find enclosed the results of the geochemical screening analysis on the four (A) samples as you requested.

Your reference numbers and well name for these samples are listed below with the corresponding GeoChem Job and sample numbers.



If you should have any questions concerning this analysis, please do not hesitate to call us.

Sincerely, Perlis

Randy W. Perlis Technical Manager

RWP : pkn Enclosure



Amoco Production Baker #1  $29 - 9N - 30E$ Quay Co., NM

## RESULTS OF TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON

 $\cdot$ 

 $\mathbf{t}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\hat{\phantom{a}}$ 



÷,

**Amucu Production Bsksr 81 29-9N-30E Quay Co.. NU** 

**T.O.C. SI** - **Free hydrocarbons. mg HCIg of rc <sup>52</sup>**- **Residual hydrocarbon potential**  *<sup>53</sup>*- **CO2 produced** from **kerogen pyrol)**  - **Total organic carbon, vt. X (mg HC/g of rock) (mg C021g of rock) Hydrogen Oxygen Index** - **mg HClg organic carbon PI**   $=$   $mg$   $CO2/g$  organic carbon<br> $=$   $S1/SI + S2$ *Tmax* - **Temperature Index, degrees C.** 



**RESULTS OF ROCK-EVAL PYROLYSIS** 







 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 





Ż.

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $\mathcal{A}$ 

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $Chervron$  Laboratory

Jom spras

BIOSTRATIGRAPHIC STUDY #1303 (ADDENDUm)

# LOCATION:  $\frac{\text{Amoco Baker}}{\text{Sec. 29. T9N. R30E}}$ Quay County, New Mexico

PROBLEM: MOA, TAI and RoV analyses of four Pennsylvanian age well cuttings samples for J. T. Jonas.

![](_page_9_Picture_176.jpeg)

#### DISCUSSION:

MOA analysis of these samples by *S.* C. Teerman - COFRC (re memo to **S.** R. Jacobson, *3/25/85)* and J. D. Saxton indicate the organic matter in these semples to be dominantly Type I11 vitrinitic "gas prone" kerogen. This determination is somewhat corroborated by pyrolysis results of four other samples within the same over-all well interval, in which HI values range from *66.0* to 133.4 indicating gas proneness (i.e., 0-150).

This degree of corroboration became necessary because **of** the presence of a great deal of amorphous Type III <del>amorphous</del> material which resembles Type II "oil prone" kerogen.<br> *M. W. THOMPSON/J. D. SAXTON*<br> *M. W. THOMPSON/J. D. SAXTON* prone" kerogen.

*Ml W Homeford*<br>M. W. THOMPSON/J. D. SAXTON

s. c. TEERMAN (COFRC)

 $MWT:mm$ 

**MEMORANDUM** 

Box *446*  La Habra, *CA* **90631**  March **25, 1985** 

**MOA ANALYSIS** AMOCO **BAKER #I.. NM AND IDENTIFICATION OF** 

~. . ... - -.

**AMORPHOUS VITRINITE**<br>29-9N-30E Phay Co, n.m. *d4 -qd- 30&*  Addendum to Diostudy 1303 Dear Steve:<br>Much of the amorphous fraction in the four Amoco Baker **#1** 

**MR. S.** R. **JACOBSON**  Chevron-Central

Dear Steve:

samples (P-4420) consists of vitrinitic organic matter **(OM).**  However, some oil-prone amorphous **OM** and degraded cutinite also occur in these samples (approximately **5-20%). This**  observation is based on microscopic analysis **of** sieved transmitted light slides only. Geochemica1,data **are**  necessary to confirm the microscopic classification of amorphous organic matter. amorphous organic matter.  $\ell_{44,20}$ -1 **b**  $\ell_{300}$ -  $\ell_{40}$  <sup>3</sup>  $\tau_{500}$ -  $\tau_{60}$ al data are<br>sification of<br>*' b300- b40*03 *7500-7600*<br><sup>2</sup> b600- b7004 7800-7900

### Identification of Amorphous Vitrinite

The following are some general comments and microscopic properties that can be used to help identify amorphous vitrinite:

**1:** Descending gradation of identifiable vitrinitic particles will display a transition between amorphous and structured **OM**  to amorphous-like **OM.** Often, amorphous vitrinitic material amorphous edges or "coatings" are often a good clue that the (Plates **1** and **2).** Vitrinitic particles that display amorphous **OM** may be humic in origin. Remnants **of** vegetal **or**  woody structure (incompletely altered humic remains) in amorphous-looking particles also suggest a humic origin *of*  the **OM** (Plate **2).** 

**2.** Appearance in reflected light. Amorphous vitrinite with a maturity less than about **0.8%** vitrinite reflectance wi1L generally have higher "visual reflectivity" than oil-prone amorphous OM. However, with increasing maturity, the hydrogen content of oil-prone amorphous **OM.** decreases; therefore, their reflectivity or ''gray level" increases an'l they develop a more consolidated texture resulting in an appearance similar to vitrinitic **OM.** Vitrinitic remnants in. amorphous clumps **or** particles are easier to recognize in reflected light. **As** shown in Plates **1** and **2.** using *a*  transmitted light slide and alternating between transmitted vitrinite remnants. and reflected light can be very useful to help recognize

humic amorphous OM will be a darker color (reddish brown or **3.** Color of amorphous **OM** in transmitted light. **In** general, dark brown) compared to oil-prone **OM.** This color difference is especially evident at the edges of amorphous particles. However, this is a subjective and maturation dependent property. The color of amorphous **OM** is somewhat dependent upon the **Eh** potential of the depositional enviroment, (Masran and Pockock, 1981). With increasing maturity, the color differences between different types of amorphous kerogens become less distinctive.

4. Fluorescence of amorphous **OM.** Although the fluorescence of oil-prone kerogens is often extremely weak, it can sometimes be used to help distinguish oil-prone and vitrinitic (non-fluorescent) amorphous **OM. Immature**  oil-prone amorphous kerogens have a positive fading effect which can be used to help identify the oil-prone amorphous because **and the subject of the subject of the subject** (increase in fluorescent intensity with time **of** excitation), kerogen. However, fluorescence is subjective and **also**  maturation dependent 'and can not be used alone *to* distinguish different types **of** amorphous kerogens.

display a more crystalline or '!grainy" texture *in* contrast to **5.** Texture. Oil-prone amorphous kerogen wi'll sometimes amorphous vitrinite.

vitrinite include: (a) evidence of fungal breakdown such as 6. Other characteristics useful in detecting amorphous woody remains permeated with fungal hyphae, **(b)** partial degradation, pitting, or patterned thinning **of** exinitic material, and (c) diagnostic microfossils, which provide information on the depositional environment.

**As** stated above, most of these microscopic properties that can be used to identify amorphous vitrinite are subjective and often difficult to detect. **No** single microscopic property will provide aconclusive answer. The problem **is**  compounded with mature and post-mature samples. However, **by**  piecing together as much information as possible **by** using **the**  above criteria a decision can often be made **on** the type **of**  amorphous **OM.** This decision needs to be confirmed with more complete description (with photomicrographs) **of** the geochemical analyses. **I** hope in the near future to write *a*  microscopic properties and identification **of** amorphous humic material.

I.C. Formin

**S.** *C.* **TEERMAN**  COFRC

SCT: ez

Attach: Plates **1-2**  cc wlattach: **L.** *C.* Bonham **R. W.** Jones

 $File-4+4$ 

#### **REFERENCES**

Masran, **T. C.** and Pockock, **S.,** 1981. The classification **of**  plant-derived particulate organic matter in sedimentary rocks. In: Brooks, **J.** (ed.). Organic maturation studies and fossil fuel exploration, pp. 145-175. Academic Press, New York.

### PLATE 1

- Figure 1. Amorphous vitrinitic particles *in* transmitted light (arrows). Note gradation **of** identifiable vitrinitic particles to **amorphous-like** organic matter. **750X**
- Figure **2.**  Amorphous vitrinitic particle in reflected light. Using transmitted light. Note the **more** vitrinitic appearance **of** particles in **reflected** light. Same field of view as Figure 1. 750X

### PLATE **2**

- Figure 1. Amorphous'vitrinitic particle in transmitted light. Note reddish-brown color **of** particle (arrow), which is transition between vitrinite and amorphous. **750X**
- Figure **2.**  Amorphous vitrinitic particle in reflected light. Note vitrinite relic in particle **(arrow).**  Same field of view as Figure 1. 750X

oco Production Baker #1  $-9N-30E$ ay Co., NM

![](_page_13_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_17.jpeg)

RESULTS OF ROCK-EVAL PYROLYSIS

### TABLE 1

GEOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS DESCRIBING SOURCE ROCK GENERATIVE POTENTIAL AND LEVEL OF THERMAL MATURATION

 $\overline{a}$  and  $\overline{a}$  and  $\overline{a}$  and  $\overline{a}$ 

![](_page_14_Picture_35.jpeg)

 $\sim$  $+$ 

![](_page_14_Picture_36.jpeg)

\*Hydrogen Index, assuming a level of thermal maturation for the organic matter equivalent to  $R_0 = 0.62$ .

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

FIGURE 1

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

**AMDCB** BAKER *HI*  NEW **MEXICO**  P442B

 $OFB$  277  $H/T$ 

· PLATE 2

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

FIGURE 1

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $0FR277$ 

FIGURE 2

AMOCO BAKER #1 NEW MEXICO P4420  $#18$