


The Loma de las Cañas quadrangle exposes a wide variety of upper Paleozoic and Cenozoic stratigraphic units as well as structures related to the Rio Grande rift, the Laramide orogeny, and possibly the Ancestral Rocky Mountain orogeny. Cenozoic stratigraphic units exposed in the quadrangle include Quaternary alluvial and eolian units, the Sierra Ladrones Formation of the upper Santa Fe Group (Pliocene-middle Pleistocene), the La Jara Peak Basaltic Andesite (Oligocene), the lower Spears Group (middle to upper Eocene), and the Baca Formation (middle Eocene). The Santa Fe Group contains permeable axial fluvial sandstones (ancestral Rio Grande deposits) that have excellent aquifer potential. Piedmont deposits in the Santa Fe Group intertongue with the ancestral Rio Grande sandstones and were deposited by west-flowing streams. The aggradational top of the Santa Fe Group is locally preserved and is represented by the calcrites of Las Cañas geomorphic surface.

- CONTACT BETWEEN GEOLOGIC UNITS, OR BETWEEN TEXTURAL LITHOFACIES OF SANTA FE GROUP. Dashed where approximately located.
- Fault showing direction and dip of fault plane. Dashed where approximately located; dotted where concealed. Bar and half on downthrown block of steep faults. Square teeth on upper plate of moderate- to low-angle fault that parallels bedding or cuts out section (younger over older); triangular teeth on upper plate of moderate- to low-angle fault that repeats section (older over younger).
- Anticline showing trace of axial plane and plunge direction. Dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed.
- Overturned anticline showing trace of axial surface, dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed.
- Syncline showing trace of axial plane and plunge direction. Dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed.
- Overturned syncline showing trace of axial surface, dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed.
- Slip direction of upper plate of detachment fault, as shown by down-dip truncation of bedding in upper plate.
- Las Cañas geomorphic surface.
- Strike and dip of bedding.
- Vertical bedding.
- Horizontal bedding.
- Paleocurrent direction based on pebble imbrication or crossbedding.

DESCRIPTION OF UNITS

- CENOZOIC ERA/THEM**
 - Middle Pleistocene-Holocene**
 - Qsg** Sand and gravel (Holocene)—Sand, gravel, and minor mud in modern active arroyo channels and in the channel of the Rio Grande, 0-30 m thick.
 - Qal** Alluvium (Holocene)—Sand, gravel, and mud adjacent to modern arroyo and river channels. Alluvium is typically at or near grade of modern channels, except in local areas where cutting of toes of piedmont slopes by the Rio Grande has caused arroyos to incise deeply (1-3 m), 0-50 m thick.
 - Qf** Mud and sand (Holocene)—Deposits on the modern floodplain of the Rio Grande.
 - Qae** Eolian deposits (upper Pleistocene-Holocene)—Eolian sands and loessic silts; deposits are stabilized by vegetation in most areas, but active dunes are present on lee sides of hills in some areas. Includes thin, discontinuous eolian veneers on stable upland surfaces that are intimately intertongued with alluvium, 0-10 m thick.
 - Qpy** Younger piedmont alluvium (upper Pleistocene)—Gravel, sand, and mud typically deposited at low elevations (less than about 30 m) above modern stream grade east of the Rio Grande. Alluvium is representative of deposition in a variety of piedmont environments, including alluvial fans, palowater and arroyo fills, strath terraces, fill terraces, and pediments. At least two aggradational episodes are represented by Qpy, 0-25 m thick.
 - Qpo** Older piedmont alluvium (middle to lower(?) Pleistocene)—Gravel, sand, and mud typically deposited at higher elevations (more than about 30 m) above modern stream grade east of the Rio Grande. Range of depositional environments is similar to Qpy. At least two aggradational episodes are represented by Qpo, 0-40 m thick.
 - Qls** Landslide deposits (Pleistocene?)—Mass-wasting deposits consisting mostly boulders of San Andres Limestone. Crops out locally north of Arroyo de las Cañas.
 - Pliocene to middle Pleistocene**
 - Sierra Ladrones Formation of Santa Fe Group** (thickness unknown; lithofacies subdivisions after Cather, 1997)
 - QTspe** Conglomeratic piedmont facies—Characterized by conglomerate/sandstone ratio greater than 2/1. Conglomerate is typically poorly sorted and clast supported. Sandstone is typically medium to very coarse and crossbedded or horizontally stratified. Matrix-supported debris-flow deposits are common. Mudstone is rare. QTspe includes gravelly veneers on pediment surfaces. In addition to the subdivision of piedmont deposits based on textural criteria, these deposits were further subdivided on the basis of provenance. Siliclastic piedmont deposits containing a dominance (>50%) of Mesozoic, Paleozoic, and Precambrian detritus are denoted by subscript (s) (includes all Santa Fe Group piedmont deposits on this quadrangle); dominantly volcanoclastic rocks are indicated by (v) (the volcanic petrofacies occur only on adjacent quadrangles).
 - QTpsa** Conglomerate-sandstone piedmont facies—Characterized by conglomerate/sandstone ratio between 2/1 and 1/2; this is the volumetrically dominant facies within the Sierra Ladrones Formation. Conglomerate is mostly clast-supported and poorly sorted. Sandstone is typically medium to very coarse-grained, commonly pebbly, and exhibits crossbedding or horizontal stratification. Mudstone is minor. Provenance subdivisions (v) and (s) are same as for QTspe.
 - QTpsm** Sandstone-dominated piedmont facies—Characterized by conglomerate/sandstone ratio of less than 1/2. Conglomerate is clast-supported and occurs in tabular or lenticular units <2 m thick. Sandstone is very fine to very coarse grained and exhibits a dominance of horizontal stratification. Mudstone is common and occurs as tabular units that locally compose as much as 20% of the unit. Calcareous paleosols are locally well-developed. Provenance subdivisions (v) and (s) are same as for QTpsa.
 - Qsa** Axial-river facies—Channel and floodplain deposits of ancestral Rio Grande consisting of variable proportions of sandstone, mudstone, and conglomerate. Sandstone is typically crossbedded and poorly indurated. Clasts in conglomerate consist of well-rounded to sub-rounded pebbles of quartzite, chert, granite, gneiss, sandstone, volcanic lithics, schist, phyllite, limestone, obsidian, and pumice. Mudstone ranges in color from reddish brown to greenish gray. Paleoflow was to the south.
 - QTst** Transitional axial-piedmont facies—Intertongued axial river deposits and piedmont deposits. Transitional deposits are defined as the zone of overlap between the basinward extent of piedmont sand and gravel and the mountainward extent of axial river sand and gravel. Mudstone is ambiguous as to its former position within the facies tract (i.e. piedmont vs. axial), and thus is not a factor in delineating the transitional facies.
 - Oligocene**
 - Tlp** La Jara Peak Basaltic Andesite (Oligocene)—Aphanitic to slightly porphyritic mafic flows and associated breccias of mostly basaltic andesite composition. Phenocrysts are mostly plagioclase and clinopyroxene, 36-24 Ma.
 - Tsu** Upper Spears Group (upper Eocene to lower Oligocene)—Dark- to medium-gray volcanoclastic sandstone, conglomerate, and minor mudstone. Debris-flow breccias are locally present. Clast lithologies are mostly dark gray basaltic andesite (plagioclase- and clinopyroxene-bearing) but also andesite-dacite (plagioclase- and amphibole-bearing), 0-80 m thick. Age range = 36-32 Ma (Cather et al., 1987). Spears Group terminology used as defined by Cather et al. (1994).
 - Eocene**
 - Tsl** Lower Spears Group (middle to upper Eocene)—Medium- to light-gray volcanoclastic sandstone, conglomerate, debris-flow breccia, and minor mudstone. Clasts are dominated by plagioclase- and amphibole-bearing andesite and dacite. Minor amounts of non-volcanic clasts are locally present above transition with underlying Baca Formation. Thickness is ~300-500 m. Age range is ~39-36 Ma (Cather et al., 1987). Usage of the term Dátil Group is after Cather et al. (1994).
 - Tbpg** Baca Formation (middle? Eocene)—Fluvial red-bed sandstone, conglomerate, and mudstone dominated by granite-gneiss detritus. Sandstone is commonly coarse-bedded; conglomerate contains pebbles, cobbles and boulders of Proterozoic and subordinate Paleozoic lithologies. Paleoflow was toward the east.
 - PALEOZOIC ERA/THEM**
 - Permian**
 - Pa** San Andres Formation (Leonardian)—Interbedded limestone, dolomite, breccia and gypsum. Limestone is brownish-black (SYR 2/1), pale yellowish-brown (10YR 6/2), and medium gray (5Y 4/1) and ranges from wackestone to grainstone. Dolomite is brownish-gray (5YR 4/1) to olive-gray (5Y 4/1), and locally gypsiferous. Breccia consists of brownish-black (SYR 2/1) limestone and dolomite that grade laterally into thin-bedded, dark gray (N8) unbrecciated limestone. Gypsum is white (N9) to light gray (N7), laminated and poorly exposed. Basal contact is placed at the base of the stratigraphically lowest, thick (>0.5 m) limestone, 60-200 m thick.
 - Pg** Gloriaeta Sandstone (Leonardian)—White (N9) to very pale orange (10YR 8/2), fine- to medium-grained, friable to well-indurated. Texturally and mineralogically mature, crossbedded quartzarenite (Plate 12). Has scattered coarse-grained, well-sorted, frosted quartz grains, especially in the lower half of the unit. Basal contact is placed at the base of the lowermost thick-bedded (>0.5 m), light-colored sandstone, 55-65 m thick.
 - Py** Yeso Formation (Leonardian)—Interbedded sandstone, siltstone, dolomitic limestone and mudstone. Divided into four members (in ascending order): Meseta Blanca, Torres, Cañas Gypsum, and Joyita Members. The Meseta Blanca Member constitutes the lower Yeso Formation (Py) and the Torres, Cañas Gypsum and Joyita Members constitute the upper Yeso Formation (Pyu). Meseta Blanca Member: interbedded very pale orange (10YR 8/1), pinkish-gray (5YR 8/1), and moderate reddish-brown (10R 4/6), very fine- to coarse-grained quartz sandstone, are very light gray (N8) to dark reddish-brown (10R 3/4) siltstone and are dark reddish-brown (10R 3/4) to grayish-red (5R 4/2) slope forming mudstone. Basal contact is placed at first occurrence up-section of laterally continuous, orange, fine-grained non-fluvialite sandstone above the Abo Formation; this basal sandstone typically forms a prominent ridge, 40-100 m thick. The upper Yeso Formation (Pyu) consists of three members. Torres Member: interbedded pale to moderate reddish-brown (10R 5/4 to 10R 4/6), grayish-pink (5R 8/2) or grayish-red (5R 4/2), fine- to medium-grained quartz sandstone, white (N9) to light gray (N7) gypsum, thin layers and lenses of dolomitic oolitic limestone, and pale yellowish-brown (10YR 6/2) to olive black (5Y 2/1) limestone that ranges from carbonate mudstone to peloidal or oolitic packstone and grainstone and are locally fossiliferous, dolomitic, and argillaceous. As many as 12 limestone beds are present within the section. Approximately 170 m thick. Cañas Gypsum Member: interbedded very light gray to white (N8 to N9) gypsum, thin layers and lenses of dolomitic oolitic limestone, and pale yellowish-brown (10YR 6/2) to olive black (5Y 2/1) limestone that ranges from carbonate mudstone to peloidal or oolitic packstone and grainstone and are locally fossiliferous, dolomitic, and argillaceous. As many as 12 limestone beds are present within the section. Approximately 170 m thick. Joyita Member: interbedded very light gray to white (N8 to N9) gypsum, thin layers and lenses of dolomitic oolitic limestone, and pale yellowish-brown (10YR 6/2) to olive black (5Y 2/1) limestone that ranges from carbonate mudstone to peloidal or oolitic packstone and grainstone and are locally fossiliferous, dolomitic, and argillaceous. As many as 12 limestone beds are present within the section. Approximately 170 m thick. Joyita Member: interbedded very light gray to white (N8 to N9) gypsum, thin layers and lenses of dolomitic oolitic limestone, and pale yellowish-brown (10YR 6/2) to olive black (5Y 2/1) limestone that ranges from carbonate mudstone to peloidal or oolitic packstone and grainstone and are locally fossiliferous, dolomitic, and argillaceous. As many as 12 limestone beds are present within the section. Approximately 170 m thick.
 - Pb** Barsam Formation (Wolfcampian)—Interbedded medium dark gray (N4) to brownish gray (5YR 4/1) with some grayish red (5R 4/2) shale, medium gray (N5) to brownish black (5YR 2/1), pedicellid and fossiliferous locally dolomitic limestone, and grayish orange pink (10R 8/2) to grayish orange (10YR 7/4), fine to very coarse-grained, locally pebbly, notably lenticular and trough cross-bedded sandstones. The upper contact is placed at the top of the uppermost lenticular, gray, coarse sandstone. Approximately 200 m thick.
 - Pennsylvanian**
 - IPma** Atrasado Formation of Madera Group (Desmoinesian, Missourian, and Virgilian)—Marine and paralic interbedded brownish-gray arkose sandstone, greenish-gray to gray mudstone, and light gray limestone. Includes Baranolo, Amado, Adobe-Coate, Council Spring, Burnego, Story, Del Cuerno, and Moya units of Rejas (1965). Basal contact is placed at base of lowermost major clastic unit in the Madera Group. Approximately 250 m thick.
 - IPag** Gray Mesa Formation of Madera Group (Desmoinesian)—Medium-gray, fossiliferous, commonly cherty, marine limestone, greenish-gray mudstone, and minor sandstone. Includes Elephant Butte, Whisky Canyon Limestone, and Garcia units of Rejas (1965). Basal contact is placed at top of uppermost thick sandstone that defines the top of the Sandia Formation. Approximately 150 m thick.
 - IPs** Sandia Formation (Atokan)—Continental and marine, arkose to quartzitic light brown sandstone, greenish-gray mudstone, and medium gray limestone, 90-175 m thick.
 - PROTEROZOIC**
 - Xgg** Weakly to moderately foliated granitic gneiss, locally pegmatitic.



Geologic map of the Loma de las Cañas quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico.

May 2005
by Steven Cather and Robert Colpitts Jr.



NMBGMR, 901 Leroy Pl., Socorro, NM 87801
STREET ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP

COMMENTS TO MAP USERS

A geologic map displays information on the distribution, nature, orientation, and age relationships of rock and deposits and the occurrence of structural features. Geologic and fault contacts are irregular surfaces that form boundaries between different types or ages of units. Data depicted on this geologic quadrangle map may be based on any of the following: reconnaissance field geologic mapping, compilation of published and unpublished work, and photogeologic interpretation. Locations of contacts are not surveyed, but are plotted by interpretation of the position of a given contact onto a topographic base map; therefore, the accuracy of contact locations depends on the scale of mapping and the interpretation of the geologist(s). Any enlargement of this map could cause misunderstanding in the detail of mapping and may result in erroneous interpretations. Site-specific conditions should be verified by detailed surface mapping or subsurface exploration. Topographic and cultural changes associated with recent development may not be shown.

New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources
New Mexico Tech
801 Leroy Place
Socorro, New Mexico
87801-4796
[505] 835-5490
http://geoinfo.nmt.edu

This and other STATEMAP quadrangles are (or soon will be) available for free download in both PDF and ArcGIS formats at:
http://geoinfo.nmt.edu/publications/maps/geologic/ogfm/home.html



This draft geologic map is preliminary and will undergo revision. It was produced from either scans of hand-drawn originals or from digitally drafted original maps and figures using a wide variety of software, and is currently in cartographic production. It is being distributed in this draft form as part of the bureau's Open-File map series (OFGM), due to high demand for current geologic map data in those areas where STATEMAP quadrangles are located, and it is the bureau's policy to disseminate geologic data to the public as soon as possible.

After this map has undergone scientific peer review, editing, and final cartographic production adhering to bureau map standards, it will be released in our Geologic Map (GM) series. This final version will receive a new GM number and will supersede this preliminary open-file geologic map.



Figure 2. View to north-northwest of steeply dipping (75°) beds of San Andres Formation overlying a detachment surface that is parallel to the underlying, gently dipping (20°) Gloriaeta Sandstone and the basal bed of the San Andres. These discordant beds resulted from a ramp-flat geometry in a decollement that was localized by gypsum beds in the lower San Andres Formation. Upper-plate movement was toward the left (west). This outcrop is located near the center of NE4, sec. 17, T3S, R2E.

REFERENCES CITED

Bansch, J.H.A., 1982. Geology of the central area of the Lomas de las Cañas quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico [M.S. thesis]. Socorro, New Mexico: Institute of Mining and Technology, 116 p.

Cather, S.M., 1983. Laramide Sierra uplift: Evidence for major pre-rift uplift in central and southern New Mexico. New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook 34, p. 99-101.

Cather, S.M., McIntosh, W.C., and Chapin, C.E., 1987. Stratigraphy, age, and rates of deposition of the Dátil Group (upper Eocene-lower Oligocene), west-central New Mexico. New Mexico Geology, v. 9, p. 50-54.

Cather, S.M., Chamberlin, R.M., and Raté, J.C., 1994. Tertiary stratigraphy and nomenclature for western New Mexico and eastern Arizona. New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook 45, p. 259-266.

Cather, S.M., 1997. Toward a hydrogeologic classification of map units in the Santa Fe Group, Rio Grande rift, New Mexico. New Mexico Geology, v. 19, no. 1, p. 15-21.

Maulsby, J., 1981. Geology of the Rancho de Lopez area east of Socorro, New Mexico [M.S. thesis]. Socorro, New Mexico: Institute of Mining and Technology, 85 p.

Nelson, J., 2004. Smithsonian field work in Texas and New Mexico, 2003. unpublished report.

Rejas, A., 1965. Geology of the Cerros de Amado area, Socorro County, New Mexico [M.S. thesis]. Socorro, New Mexico: Institute of Mining and Technology, 128 p.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We benefited from stratigraphic discussions S.G. Lucas. Field work was expedited by previous mapping by A. Rejas, J.H.A. Bansch, J. Maulsby, and J. Nelson. Geologic mapping was funded by the New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources and the STATEMAP Program and the USGS National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program. SMC thanks his equine friends Squatch, Vicky, and Lucy for invaluable assistance in the field.