

QUADRANGLE LOCATION

This draft geologic map is preliminary and will undergo revision. It was produced from either scans of hand-drafted originals or from digitally drafted original maps and figures using a wide variety of software, and is currently in cartographic production. It is being distributed in this draft form as part of the bureau's Open-file map series (OFGM), due to high demand for current geologic map data in these areas where STATEMAP quadrangles are located, and it is the bureau's policy to disseminate geologic data to the public as soon as possible.

After this map has undergone scientific peer review, editing, and final cartographic production adhering to bureau map standards, it will be released in our Geologic Map (GM) series. This final version will receive a new GM number and will supercede this preliminary open-file geologic map.

DRAFT

Preliminary geologic map of the La Joya NW quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico.

May 2007

by
Connell, S.D.[1] and McCraw, D.J.[1]

[1] *NMBGMR, 801 Leroy Pl., Socorro, NM, 87801*

New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources
Open-file Geologic Map **140**

Mapping of this quadrangle was funded by a matching-funds grant from the STATEMAP program of the National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Act, administered by the U. S. Geological Survey, and by the New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, (Dr. Peter A. Scholle, *Director and State Geologist*; Dr. J. Michael Timmons, *Geologic Mapping Program Manager*).

New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources
New Mexico Tech
801 Leroy Place
Socorro, New Mexico
87801-4796

[505] 835-5490
http://geoinfo.nmt.edu

This and other STATEMAP quadrangles are (or soon will be) available for free download in both PDF and ArcGIS formats at:

http://geoinfo.nmt.edu/publications/maps/geologic/ofgm/home.html

COMMENTS TO MAP USERS

A geologic map displays information on the distribution, nature, orientation, and age relationships of rock and deposits and the occurrence of structural features. Geologic and fault contacts are irregular surfaces that form boundaries between different types or ages of units. Data depicted on this geologic quadrangle map may be based on any of the following: reconnaissance field geologic mapping, compilation of published and unpublished work, and photogeologic interpretation. Locations of contacts are not surveyed, but are plotted by interpretation of the position of a given contact onto a topographic base map; therefore, the accuracy of contact locations depends on the scale of mapping and the interpretation of the geologist(s). Any enlargement of this map could cause misunderstanding in the detail of mapping and may result in erroneous interpretations. Site-specific conditions should be verified by detailed surface mapping or subsurface exploration. Topographic and cultural changes associated with recent development may not be shown.

Cross sections are constructed based upon the interpretations of the author made from geologic mapping, and available geophysical, and subsurface (drillhole) data. Cross-sections should be used as an aid to understanding the general geologic framework of the map area, and not be the sole source of information for use in locating or designing wells, buildings, roads, or other man-made structures.

The map has not been reviewed according to New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources standards. The contents of the report and map should not be considered final and complete until reviewed and published by the New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the State of New Mexico, or the U.S. Government.

Description of map units
Geologic units were mapped in the field and using color digital orthophotographs and stereopair aerial photographs. Colors are denoted using Munsell notation (Kollmorgen, 1994). Pedogenic carbonate morphologic stages after Machette (1985). Asterisk (*) on map unit symbol denotes units shown only on geologic cross section.

Anthropogenic and surficial deposits	
Thin surficial deposits derived from wind and mass-movement processes, or areas disturbed by human activities.	
af	Artificial fill and disturbed land (modern) — dumped fill associated with construction of
Qca	Colluvium and alluvium, undivided (Holocene-Pleistocene) — poorly consolidated, poorly sorted and stratified, fine- to coarse-grained, clast- and matrix-supported, transport-limited deposits derived from a variety of mass-movement hillslope processes, including debris flow, shallow slump and creep. Gravels are typically angular and composition generally reflects local upslope provenance. Differentiated where areally extensive, thick, or obscures geologic contacts. Variable thickness, ranging from 0-5 m.
Qe	Eolian sand and sand dunes (Holocene) — unconsolidated and poorly consolidated, light-brown to light yellowish-brown, moderately to well sorted, fine-to medium-grained sand, primarily recognized as laterally extensive sand sheets. Surface supports sparsely to moderately vegetated slopes. Soil development is very weak to nonexistent. Variable thickness, ranging from 0-3 m.
Qae	Eolian sand and alluvium (Holocene-upper Pleistocene) — unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, moderately to well sorted, light reddish-brown to light-brown, fine- to medium-grained sand and silty sand with scattered pebbles that commonly forms a relatively thin, discontinuous mantle over relative flat upland areas. Mapped where areally extensive or thick. Variable thickness, ranging from 0-3 m.

Alluvium of the Rio Puerco
Fluvial deposits associated with modern and former positions of the Rio Puerco. Contains unconsolidated to weakly consolidated sand and mud associated with the gently south-sloping floor of the Rio Puerco Valley. Divided into five units based on geomorphic position and cross-cutting relationships. Valley floor alluvium was deposited primarily by overbank flow of the Rio Puerco and tributaries that flowed down-valley, subparallel to the modern channel. Alluvium accumulated below the valley floor includes paleochannels and overbank deposits and probably is at least 20 m thick. Upstream, the valley fill thickens to 40 m. Inset against the broad valley floor are two alluvial terraces (Qrpt2, Qrpt1). Inset below these are remnants of the Rio Puerco channel (Qrpc2) and active floodplain (Qrpc1). Bryan (1925) and Bryan and Post (1927) attempted to establish when the Rio Puerco incised its valley floor in the 19th century. Historic photographs of the Rio Puerco channel in the vicinity of the railroad and US-85 bridges (in the adjacent Abeytas quadrangle) show remarkable channel changes during the 20th century from a broad, shallow, braided channel to one trapezoidal silt-sided meandering channel between aggraded tamarisk-stabilized banks (***Qrpc1*** and ***Qrpc2***, J. Wall and C. Gorbach, unpublished data). Elliot (1979), Meyer (1989), and Gellis (1991) addressed the possible evolution of the modern Rio Puerco channel through time. Love and others (1982) and Love and Young (1983) described several buried Holocene arroyo channels within the Rio Puerco valley fill upstream from the map area.
Qrp	Rio Puerco valley alluvium, undivided (Historic-middle Pleistocene) — Pale-brown and red-dish-brown, unconsolidated sand, pebbly sand, silt and clay underlying most of the floor of the Rio Puerco valley. Interfingers with units ***Qae*** and ***Qry*** at valley margins. Generally corresponds to unit Pfa of Pearce and Kelson (2004). Includes deposits of former Rio Puerco channels; recognized on aerial photography and generally corresponds to units Hes, Hcb, and Hcb of Pearce and Kelson (2004). Scroll-bars are marked by arcuate vegetation such as tamarisk. Thickness ranges up to 4 m.
Qrpc$_1$	Rio Puerco valley alluvium, modern channel deposits (Historic) — Unconsolidated pale brown, medium sand, silt, and clay within the active channel of the Rio Puerco. Lowest and youngest inset valley alluvium. Thickness varies.
Qrpc$_2$	Rio Puerco valley alluvium, modern channel deposits (Historic) — Unconsolidated pale brown, medium sand, silt, and clay within the active channel of the Rio Puerco. Generally modified from units Rb35, Rb35, and Rcs35 (i.e., the channel in 1935) of Pearce and Kelson (2004) using 1996-vintage digital orthophotography. Thickness varies.
Qrpc$_3$	Rio Puerco valley alluvium, Modern channel and scroll-bar deposits (Historic-upper Holocene) — Unconsolidated, light brown sand and silty clay deposits interpreted as former Rio Puerco channels; recognized on aerial photography and generally corresponds to units Hes, Hcb, and Hcb of Pearce and Kelson (2004). Scroll-bars are marked by arcuate vegetation such as tamarisk. Thickness ranges up to 4 m.
Qrpt$_1$	Rio Puerco valley alluvium, younger inset terrace deposits (Holocene) — Unconsolidated pale brown silty clay at top (20-60 cm), and underlain by medium sand, silt, and clay; deposit top sits approximately 1.5 m above the active channel of the Rio Puerco. Fine-grained upper beds suggest occasionally inundation by floods. Thickness ranges from 4 to 6 m.
Qrpt$_2$	Rio Puerco valley alluvium, older inset terrace deposits (lower Holocene to upper Pleistocene) — Unconsolidated to poorly consolidated pale brown, medium sand, silt, and clay. Unit sits approximately 2-3 m above the active channel of the Rio Puerco. Thickness ranges from 5 to 7 m.

Stream-valley alluvium
Tributary stream-valley alluvium associated with modern and Pleistocene entrenched tributary valleys. Deposits typically contain poorly to well sorted, poorly to well stratified, clast- and matrix-supported sediment that is inset against the Santa Fe Group and older rocks.
Qa — Active alluvium, modern deposits (modern-Holocene) — light-brown (7.5YR 6/4), unconsolidated, poorly to moderately sorted, medium- to coarse-grained sand and pebbly to bouldery sand occupying modern arroyos that grade to the floor of the Rio Puerco and Rio Grande valleys. No pedogenic development or carbonate cement. Base is not exposed, but deposit is estimated to range from 1 to 3 m in thickness.
Qvy — Younger alluvium, undivided (Holocene-upper Pleistocene) — brown (7.5YR 4/4) pebble gravel associated with broad valley fill units within modern stream valleys and valley border fans that grade to the Rio Puerco floodplain. Weak soil development with Stage I pedogenic carbonate morphology. Locally divided into older subunit (***Qvoa*** based on inset relationships).
Qvm4 — Intermediate alluvium (middle Pleistocene) — inset by younger stream-valley alluvium (***Qvy***). Locally divided into four subunits based on inset relationships and surface morphology.
Qvm4 — Intermediate alluvium, youngest subunit (middle Pleistocene) — brown (7.5YR 5/4), weakly consolidated pebble gravel, pebbly sand with Stage II pedogenic carbonate morphology; poorly exposed and generally less than 3 m thick.
Qvm3 — Intermediate alluvium, intermediate subunit (middle Pleistocene) — brown (7.5YR 5/4-5/6), weakly to moderately consolidated pebble gravel, pebbly sand, and medium- to coarse-grained sand with Stage III pedogenic carbonate morphology; poorly exposed. Thickness ranges from 1 m to over 8 m thick.
Qvm2 — Intermediate alluvium, older subunit (middle Pleistocene) — brown, moderately consolidated pebble gravel, pebbly sand, and medium- to coarse-grained sand with Stage III pedogenic carbonate morphology; poorly exposed. Thickness ranges from 1 m to over 3 m thick.
Qvm1 — Intermediate alluvium, oldest subunit (middle Pleistocene) — brown, moderately consolidated pebble gravel, pebbly sand, and medium- to coarse-grained sand with Stage III pedogenic carbonate morphology; poorly exposed and strongly modified by erosion. Thickness ranges from 1 m to over 3 m thick.
Qvo — Older alluvium, undivided (middle Pleistocene) — poorly exposed pebble to cobble gravel on high ridge-tops. Unconformably overlies moderately to slightly tilted strata of the Popotosa and Sierra Ladrones formations. Locally exhibits stripped soils with Stage III+ pedogenic carbonate morphology. Unit is inset by intermediate alluvium (***Qvm***). Gravels are dominated by 10-20% boulders of quartz and light-colored granite, with locally abundant schist. Gravels up to 60 cm in maximum diameter. Deposit top about 70-115 m above local base level. Approximately 15 m thick.
Qg — Ridge-capping gravel (Pleistocene) — cobble and small boulder lags on ridge tops. Probably remnants of older and intermediate alluvium (***Qvo***, and ***Qvm***).
Qa* — Alluvium, undivided (Holocene-Pleistocene) — undivided units ***Qa***, ***Qvy***, ***Qvm***, and ***Qvo***. Cross-section only.

Santa Fe Group
Deposits of the Santa Fe Group were divided into three lithostratigraphic units and locally subdivided based on textural criteria according to Cather (1997). The Popotosa Formation (lower Santa Fe Group) represents the lowest unit of the Santa Fe Group and records unroofing of the Ladron Mountains with the lower part of the formation being dominantly volcaniclastic and the upper part containing granitic detritus (Bruning, 1973). The Popotosa Formation typically has moderately steep westward strata tilts, towards the Ladron Mountains (Bruning, 1973). In fault contact in the map area, but typically overlain by Sierra Ladrones Formation in angular unconformity (Bruning, 1973; Machette, 1978; Asher-Bolinder, 1988). The Ceja Formation (upper Santa Fe Group) was recognized by Connell et al. (2001) and Love et al. (2001) east of the Loma Blanca fault. They originally correlated these deposits to the Arroyo Ojito Formation, but subsequent work in the northwestern part of the Albuquerque basin suggests that Ceja Formation is a better correlation (Connell, 2006). The Ceja Formation was mapped as piedmont-slope and alluvial-flat deposits east of the axial-fluvial member on the adjacent San Acacia quadrangle (see Machette, 1978). The Sierra Ladrones Formation (upper Santa Fe Group) represents the youngest and highest unit of the Santa Fe Group and was originally defined on the adjacent San Acacia quadrangle by Machette (1978).

Ceja Formation
Tc — Ceja Formation (Pliocene) — light-brown to very pale-brown (7.5YR 6/4-5/4 to 10YR 8/2), moderately sorted, very fine- to medium-grained (vfL-mL) sandstone with well sorted, ledge-forming ledge-forming sandstone and pebbly sandstone interbeds. Contains subordinate to minor amounts of light reddish-brown (5YR 6/3) slightly calcareous mudstone. Coarse-grained intervals represent less than 15% of unit. Gravels contain abundant rounded chert and intermediate volcanic pebbles with sparse sandstone and very sparse, scattered oyster (*Pycnodonte*) shells (probably recycled from Cretaceous deposits). The presence of abundant rounded chert and southerly paleoflow directions suggest correlation to the Ceja Formation. Locally trough cross-stratified with southeasterly paleoflow direction. Beds are typically subhorizontal or slightly tilted. Overlain by stream-valley alluvium. Base not exposed, but unit is probably more than 100 m thick.

Ceja-Sierra Ladrones Formation transition
QTsct — Transitional deposits, Ceja and Sierra Ladrones formations (Pliocene) — reddish-brown (5YR 5/4) mudstone and medium- to coarse-grained (rmL-cU) pebbly sandstone; coarse-grained beds are approximately 10-20% of unit and contain abundant angular to subangular volcanic, granitic, and schistose pebbles and cobbles; float on slopes locally contain 2-10% rounded black to brownish-yellow polished chert pebbles. Conglomeratic beds are locally trough cross-stratified, and cross-stratification indicates southward and eastward paleoflow directions. Unit is poorly exposed and generally forms slopes with medium bedded cemented intebeds of angular pebbly sandstone; interfingers with Sierra Ladrones piedmont deposits to west. Eastern exposures truncated by Loma Blanca fault. Probably interfingers with axial-fluvial deposits of Sierra Ladrones Formation to east. Base not exposed, but unit is at least 25 m in thickness.

Sierra Ladrones Formation
Deposits of the Sierra Ladrones Formation (upper Santa Fe Group) were originally defined by Machette (1978) for deposits on the adjoining San Acacia quadrangle (to south). Base of formation is not exposed on map area, but Cather et al. (1994) report approximately 470 m to south in the Silver Creek quadrangle. Unit is commonly divided into a piedmont member and an axial-fluvial member. The piedmont member is locally subdivided into three textural facies using the scheme of Cather (1997). Beds of the Sierra Ladrones Formation are typically subhorizontal. In the study area, strata tilts of the axial-fluvial member dip up to 14°NW.
QTsp — Sierra Ladrones Formation, undivided piedmont deposits (Pliocene-lower Pleistocene(?)) — very pale-brown to light reddish-brown (10YR 7/3, 7.5YR 6/3-6/4, 5YR 6/4), weakly cemented, poorly sorted, fine- to very coarse-grained (fL-vcU) sandstone, conglomeratic sandstone, and mudstone; with scattered ledge-forming, well cemented, conglomeratic sandstone interbeds. Gravel is mostly <4 cm diameter and ranges up to 11-12 cm maximum diameter (3-4 cm intermediate diameter) and composed of intermediate volcanic, limestone, granitic, greenstone, red volcanic, schist/gneiss, angular quartz, sandstone (2%), and chert pebbles. Beds typically have shallow dips, except near faults; poorly exposed; base not exposed, but probably overlies Popotosa Formation with angular unconformity. Divided into four units using textural scheme of Cather (1997).
QTspc — Western-margin piedmont deposits, conglomeratic deposits (Pliocene-lower Pleistocene(?)) — conglomerate with subordinate sandstone (conglomerate: sandstone ratio greater than 2:1). Conglomerate is mostly clast supported, although matrix-supported deposits are also present.
QTspcs — Western-margin piedmont deposits, conglomeratic sandstone deposits (Pliocene-lower Pleistocene(?)) — poorly sorted, conglomeratic sandstone with sparse mudstone (conglomeratic sandstone ratio between 2:1 and 1:2). Conglomerate is mostly clast supported. Sandstone is medium to very coarse and is typically horizontally laminated or trough cross bedded. Typically found between conglomeratic (***QTspc***) and sandstone (***QTsps***) facies.
QTsps — Western-margin piedmont deposits, sandstone deposits (Pliocene-lower Pleistocene(?)) — sandstone with subordinate mudstone (conglomeratic sandstone ratio less than 1:2). Sandstone is mostly horizontally laminated with subordinate trough and planar cross bedding. Conglomerate occurs in shallow, lenticular beds, is mostly clast supported.
QTsxs — Sierra Ladrones Formation, transitional deposits (Pliocene-lower Pleistocene(?)) — interfingered axial-fluvial member deposits (***QTsa***) and piedmont deposits (***QTsp***). Reddish-brown (5YR 5/4), thinly bedded, very fine- to fine-grained (vfL-fU) sandy mudstone containing 10-20 cm thick bioturbated zones. Conglomeratic sandstone ratio generally less than 1:2. Transitional deposits are defined as the zone of overlap between the western outcrops of axial river deposits and the *easternmost* outcrops of piedmont sandstone and conglomerate.
QTsa — Sierra Ladrones Formation, axial-fluvial member (Pliocene) — very pale-brown to light-brown (10YR 7/3 – 7.5YR 6/3), moderately to well sorted, cross-stratified sandstone with sparse light olive-green mudstone and thin pale-yellow (2.5Y 8/4) fine-grained sandstone (vfL-fU) interbeds. Conglomeratic sandstone ratio less than 1:2. Sparse pebbly sandstone beds contain sparse rounded orthoquartzite and locally abundant subangular granite. Granite is interpreted to be derived from Ladron Mountains. Interbedded with light-gray to very pale-brown (10YR 7/1-8/2) weakly to moderately cemented sandstone and claystone. Interfingers with piedmont deposits (***QTsp***). Probably Pliocene in age.
QTsps* — Sierra Ladrones Formation, eastern-basin piedmont member (Pliocene) — Interpreted deposits derived from eastern margin of basin, underlies and probably interfingers with Ceja Formation. Probably contains granitic and volcanic detritus. Cross section only.

Popotosa Formation
Beds in the Popotosa Formation typically have steeper dips (~9-20°) than in the Sierra Ladrones Formation. Strata dips in the Popotosa Formation increase to 45° in the adjacent Ladron Peak quadrangle (see Read et al., 2007). The Popotosa Formation is divided into a piedmont-slope member and a fluviolacustrine (playa-lake) member. Piedmont deposits range from 820 to 1860 m in thickness. The playa-lake deposits are approximately 700 m in thickness.
Tppc — Popotosa Formation, fanglomerate of Ladron Peak (upper Miocene) — brown to light-brown (7.5YR 6/3-5/3) well consolidated, pebble to cobble conglomerate and pebbly sandstone. Conglomeratic sandstone ratio of greater than 2:1. Conglomerate is commonly clast supported, crudely imbricated, and poorly sorted. Typically poorly exposed, but locally can form steep walls and ledges. Gravel is 2- to 10-cm diameter with scattered large cobbles and small boulders. Gravels contain mostly angular to subangular red granite, quartzite, schist, subrounded limestone and sparse gray volcanic tuff. Pebble imbrications indicate easterly to southeasterly paleoflow direction. Correlative to the fanglomerate of Ladron Peak (Bruning, 1973); over 500 ft (150 m) thick.
Tppcs — Popotosa Formation, piedmont deposits conglomeratic sandstone. (Miocene) — conglomeratic sandstone (conglomerate:sandstone ratio between 2:1 and 1:2). Conglomerate is mostly clast supported and poorly exposed. Sandstone is fine- to very coarse-grained and horizontally stratified or locally trough cross-stratified. Mudstone is sparse. Pebble imbricationas indicate eastward paleoflow direction. Interfingers with conglomeratic sandstone (unit Tppc) and sandstone (Tps). Gravels are dominated by granitic and metamorphic rocks. Locally contains abundant volcanic detritus, especially low in unit.
Tpps — Popotosa Formation, transitional piedmont/basin-floor deposits (Miocene) — sandstone with subordinate mudstone (conglomeratic:sandstone ratio less than 1:2). Sandstone is mostly horizontally laminated with subordinate trough and planar cross bedding. Interfingers with and includes thin transition (10-30 m) with basin-floor deposits of unit ***Tpl***. Interpreted as distal piedmont deposits.
Tpl — Popotosa Formation, basin-floor (fluviolacustrine) deposits (Miocene) — reddish-brown (5YR 5/3) mudstone with local 1-2 m thick, light greenish-gray (5GY 7/1) mudstone interbeds, and very sparse thinly bedded sandstone interbeds. Sandstone:mudstone ratio less than 1:2. Sandstone ranges from very fine- to medium-grained and is present as sparse, think tabular beds. Conglomeratic beds are sparse or not present. Gypsum is common. Interfingers with units ***Tpps*** and ***Tppcs***. In fault contact with Sierra Ladrones Formation. Interpreted as deposits in a playa-lake. Base not exposed in map area; Bruning (1973) estimated thickness of about 700 m.
Tpp* — Popotosa Formation, piedmont deposits, undivided (Miocene) — undivided units ***Tppc***, ***Tppcs***, ***Tpps***. *Cross-section only.*
Tppg* — Popotosa Formation, granite-bearing piedmont deposits, undivided (Miocene) — granite-bearing piedmont deposits. *Cross-section only.*
Tppv* — Popotosa Formation, volcanic-bearing piedmont deposits, undivided (Miocene) — volcanic-bearing piedmont deposits. *Cross-section only.*

Older rocks
Older sedimentary and crystalline rocks depicted in geologic cross section.
Tlu* — Laramide sedimentary and volcanic rocks, undivided (Eocene-Oligocene) — includes volcanic rocks of La Jara Peak Basaltic Andesite, La Jencia Tuff, Hells Mesa Tuffs, Spears Formation, and Baca Formation (Osburn and Chapin, 1983). Oligocene volcanic rocks are approximately 330 m thick. Volcaniclastic deposits of the Spears Formation are about 200 m thick. The underlying Baca Formation is about 60 m thick. *Cross-section only.*
Mz* — Mesozoic sedimentary rocks, undivided (Cretaceous and Triassic) — includes sedimentary rocks of the Cretaceous Tres Hermanos Formation and Mancos shale. Also includes undivided Triassic rocks. Estimated thickness is approximately 130 m. *Cross-section only.*
Pz* — Upper Paleozoic sedimentary rocks, undivided (Mississippian-Permian) — includes Caloso and Kelly formations, Sandia Formation, Madera Group, Abo and Yeso Formations, and other Permian deposits. Estimated thickness is at least 330 m. *Cross-section only.*
XY* — Proterozoic crystalline rocks, undivided (Mississippian-Permian) — includes plutonic and metamorphic rocks. *Cross-section only.*

LA JOYA NW 7.5-MINUTE QUADRANGLE UNIT CORRELATION

**asterisk denote units shown in cross-section only*



REFERENCES

Asher-Bolinder, S., 1988, Stratigraphy of reference sections in the Popotosa Formation, Socorro County, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Bulletin 1800, 22 p., 1 pl.

Bruning, J.E., 1973, Origin of the Popotosa Formation, nor-central Socorro County, New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Open-file report 38, 132 p., 9 pl.

Bryan, K., 1925, Historic evidence of changes in the channel of the Rio Puerco, a tributary of the Rio Grande in New Mexico: Journal of Geology, v. 36, p. 265-282.

Bryan, K, and Post, G.M., 1927, Erosion and control of silt on the Rio Puerco, New Mexico: (unpublished) Report to the Chief Engineer State of New Mexico Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 173 p.

Cather, S.M., 1996, Geologic maps of upper Cenozoic deposits of the Loma de las Cañas, and Mesa del Yeso 7.5 minute quadrangles, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Open-file report 417, 32 p., 2 pl., scale 1:24,000.

Cather, S.M., 1997, Toward a hydrogeologic classification of map units in the Santa Fe Group, Rio Grande rift, New Mexico: New Mexico Geology, v. 19, n. 1, p. 15-21.

Cather, S.M., Chamberlin, R.M., Chapin, C.E., and McIntosh, W.C., 1994, Stratigraphic consequences of episodic extension in the Lemitar Mountains, central Rio Grande rift: Geological Society of America, Special Paper 291, p. 157-170.

Chamberlin, R.M., 1980, Cenozoic stratigraphy and structure of the Socorro Peak volcanic center, central New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Open-file report 118, 532 p., 3 pl.

Chamberlin, R.M., 1999, Preliminary geologic map of the Socorro quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Open-file Geologic Map 34, scale 1:24,000.

Chamberlin, R.M., Logsdon, M.J., Eveleth, R.W., Bieberman, R.A.., Roybal, G.H., Osburn, J.C., North, R.M., McLemore, V.T., and Weber, R.H., 1982, Preliminary evaluation of the mineral resource potential of the Sierra Ladrones Wilderness study area, Socorro County, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Open-file report 179, 193 p., 8 pls.

Connell, S.D., 2004, Geology of the Albuquerque Basin and tectonic development of the Rio Grande rift, north-central New Mexico, *in* Mack, G.H., and Giles, K.J., eds., The Geology of New Mexico, A geologic history: New Mexico: New Mexico Geological Society, Special Publication 11, p. 359-388.

Connell, S.D., 2006, Preliminary geologic map of the Albuquerque-Rio Rancho metropolitan area and vicinity, Bernalillo and Sandoval Counties, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Open-file Report 496, scale 1:50,000, version 2.0.

Connell, S.D., and Smith, G.A., 2005, Post-Miocene stratigraphy of the Albuquerque basin, Rio Grande rift, New Mexico: Implications for geomorphic and hydrogeologic development of extensional basins: Geological Society of America, Abstracts with programs, v. 37, n. 7, p. 496.

Connell, S.D., Love, D.W., Jackson-Paul, P.B., Lucas, S.G., Morgan, G.S., Chamberlin, R.M., McIntosh, W.C., and Dunbar, N., 2001, Stratigraphy of the Sierra Ladrones Formation type area, southern Albuquerque basin, Socorro County, New Mexico: Preliminary Results [abstract]: New Mexico Geology, v. 23, n. 2, p. 59.

de Moor,M., Zinsser,A., Karlstrom, K., Chamberlin, R., Connell, S., Read, A., 2005, Preliminary geologic map of the La Joya 7.5-minute quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Open-file Geologic Map OF-GM 102, scale 1:24,000.

Denny, C.S., 1940, Tertiary geology of San Acacia area: Journal of Geology, v. 48, p. 73-106.

Elliot, J.G., 1979, Evolution of large arroyos: The Rio Puerco of New Mexico [Masters thesis]: Fort Collins, Colorado State University, 106 p.

Gellis, A.C., 1991, Decreasing trends of suspended sediment concentrations of selected streamflow stations in New Mexico, in: Proceedings of the 36th annual New Mexico water conference- agencies and science working for the future, p.77-93, New Mexico Water Resources Research Institute Report 265.

Hawley, J.W., ed., 1978, Guidebook to Rio Grande rift in New Mexico and Colorado: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Circular 163, 241 p.

Kelley, S.A., Chapin, C.E., and Corrigan, J., 1992, Late Mesozoic to Cenozoic cooling histories of the flanks of the northern and central Rio Grande rift, Colorado and New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Bulletin 145, 40 p.

Kelley, V.C., 1977, Geology of the Albuquerque basin, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Memoir 33, 60 p.

Kolmorgen Corp., 1994, Munsell soil color charts: Macbeth Division of Kollmorgen Instruments Corporation, New Windsor, Connecticut, unpaginated.

Love, D. W., Hawley, J. W., and Young, J. D., 1982, Preliminary report on the geomorphic history of the lower Rio Puerco in relation to archeological sites and cultural resources of the lower Hidden Mountain Dam site, in Eidenbach, P., ed., Inventory survey of the lower Hidden Mountain floodpool, lower Rio Puerco drainage, central New Mexico: Human Systems Research Inc., Tularosa, New Mexico, pp. 20-65.

Love, D.W., and Young, J.D., 1983, Progress report on the late Cenozoic geologic evolution of the lower Rio Puerco: New Mexico Geological Society, Guidebook 34, p. 277-284.

Love, D.W., Connell, S.D., Lucas, S.G., Morgan, G.S., Derrick, N.N., Jackson-Paul, P.B., and Chamberlin, R., 2001, Third-day, Belen sub-basin: Belen, Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, and northern Socorro Basin: New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Open-file report 454A, p. 27-53.

Lozinsky, R.P., Tedford, R.H., 1991, Geology and paleontology of the Santa Fe Group, southwestern Albuquerque Basin, Valencia County, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Bulletin 132, 35 p.

Machette, M.N., 1978, Geologic map of the San Acacia Quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-1415, scale 1:24,000.

Machette, M.N., 1985, Calcic soils of the southwestern United States: Geological Society of America Special Paper 203, p. 1-21.

Machette, M.N., Personius, S.F., Kelson, K.I., Haller, K.M., and Dart, R.L., 1998, Map and data for Quaternary faults and folds in New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file report 98-821, 443 p., 1 pl.

Meyer, D.F., 1989, The significance of sediment transport in arroyo development: U.S. Geological Survey, Water-supply Paper 2349, 61 p.

Morgan G.S., and Lucas, S.G., 2000, Pliocene and Pleistocene vertebrate faunas from the Albuquerque Basin, New Mexico: New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin 16, p. 217-240.

Osburn, G.R., and Chapin, C.E., 1983, Nomenclature for Cenozoic rocks of northeast Mogollon-Datil volcanic field, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources, Stratigraphic Chart 1.

Newell, H.H., 1997, $^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar$ geochronology of the Miocene silicic lavas of the Socorro-Magdalena area, New Mexico [M.S. thesis]: Socorro, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, 190 p.

Pearce, J.T., and Kelson, K.I., 2004, Surficial geologic map of the middle Rio Grande valley floodplain, Isleta Diversion Dam to San Acacia Diversion Dam: New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources Open-file Report 480, scale 1:48,000.

Read, A.S., Cather, S.M., Chamberlin, R.M., and Connell, S.D., 2007, Preliminary geologic map of the Ladron Peak 7.5-minute quadrangle, Socorro County, New Mexico: New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources, Open-file Report [unassigned], scale 1:12,000.