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Purpose

- Describe the uranium industry in New Mexico, with emphasis on Grants uranium district
- Poster on “Uranium Resource Potential in New Mexico”
- Session later this morning, our keynote speaker, and many posters
Why are we discussing uranium now?

- Near the end of a 5 year study as part of the EPSCoR program

https://www.nmepscor.org/science/uranium
Why are we discussing uranium now?

• January 2016, three-day workshop to discuss topics associated with in situ recovery (ISR) of uranium

• Two special editions of New Mexico Geology

• This NMGS Spring Meeting “Uranium in New Mexico: the Resource and the Legacy”

• Grants uranium district is a world class deposit and there will be production in the future
WHY IS URANIUM IMPORTANT?

WHERE IS URANIUM FOUND IN NEW MEXICO?
Figure 3. The nuclear fuel cycle (www.eia.gov/energyexplained/index.cfm?page=nuclear_fuel_cycle, accessed 7/27/15).
URANIUM MINING DISTRICTS IN NEW MEXICO

(McLemore, 2017; McLemore and Chenoweth, 1989, in press)
FIGURE 5. Uranium production in New Mexico from 1948 to 2002.

Table 1. Estimated total production of major commodities in New Mexico, in order of estimated cumulative value (data from USGS, 1902-1927; USBM, 1927-1990; Kelley, 1949; Northrop, 1996; Harrer, 1965; USGS, 1965; Howard, 1967; Harben et al., 2008; Energy Information Administration, 2015; New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1986-2015). Figures are subject to change as more data are obtained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodity</th>
<th>Years of production</th>
<th>Estimated quantity of production</th>
<th>Estimated cumulative value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>1921-2014</td>
<td>&gt;73 trillion cubic feet</td>
<td>$160 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>1922-2014</td>
<td>&gt;6.1 billion barrels</td>
<td>$115 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal</td>
<td>1882-2013</td>
<td>&gt;1.27 billion short tons</td>
<td>&gt;$21 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>1804-2013</td>
<td>&gt;11.5 million tons</td>
<td>&gt;$20.6 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potash</td>
<td>1951-2013</td>
<td>112,054,218 short tons</td>
<td>&gt;$15 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranium</td>
<td>1948-2002</td>
<td>&gt;347 million pounds</td>
<td>&gt;$4.7 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial minerals**</td>
<td>1959-2013</td>
<td>40,276,083 short tons</td>
<td>&gt;$2.6 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggregates***</td>
<td>1997-2013</td>
<td>&gt;866 short tons</td>
<td>&gt;$2.5 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molybdenum</td>
<td>1951-2013</td>
<td>&gt;176 million pounds</td>
<td>&gt;$852 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>1931-2013</td>
<td>&gt;3.2 million troy ounces</td>
<td>&gt;$463 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>1848-2013</td>
<td>&gt;1.51 million tons</td>
<td>&gt;$337 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>1903-1991</td>
<td>&gt;118.7 million troy ounces</td>
<td>&gt;$279 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>1848-2013</td>
<td>&gt;367,000 tons</td>
<td>&gt;$56.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>1883-1992</td>
<td>&gt;6.7 million long tons</td>
<td>&gt;$23 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorspar</td>
<td>1883-1962</td>
<td>&gt;721,000 tons</td>
<td>$12 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>1909-1978</td>
<td>&gt;1.9 million tons</td>
<td>$5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td>1883-1963</td>
<td>&gt;37,500 tons</td>
<td>&gt;$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tungsten</td>
<td>1918-1965</td>
<td>113.8 tons (&gt;60% WO₃)</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niobium-tantalum</td>
<td>1940-1958</td>
<td>34,000 pounds of concentrates</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Uranium production from 1947–2002 by type of deposit from New Mexico (McLemore and Chenoweth, 1989, 2003; production from 1988–2002 estimated by the authors). Type of deposits refers to Table 2. Total U.S. production from McLemore and Chenoweth (1989) and Energy Information Administration (2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of deposit</th>
<th>Production (lbs U₃O₈)</th>
<th>Period of production (Years)</th>
<th>Production total in NM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary, redistributed, remnant sandstone uranium deposits (Morrison Formation, Grants district)</td>
<td>330,453,000¹</td>
<td>1951–1988</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine water recovery (Morrison Formation, Grants district)</td>
<td>9,635,869</td>
<td>1963–2002</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabular sandstone uranium deposits (Morrison Formation, Shiprock district)</td>
<td>493,510</td>
<td>1948–1982</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Morrison Formation Sandstone uranium deposits (San Juan Basin)</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>1955–1959</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sandstone uranium deposits (San Juan Basin)</td>
<td>503,279</td>
<td>1952–1970</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone uranium deposits (Todiito Formation², predominantly Grants district)</td>
<td>6,671,789</td>
<td>1950–1985</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other sedimentary rocks with uranium deposits (total NM)</td>
<td>34,889</td>
<td>1952–1970</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vein-type uranium deposits (total NM)</td>
<td>226,162</td>
<td>1953–1966</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Igneous and metamorphic rocks with uranium deposits (total NM)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1954–1956</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total in New Mexico</strong></td>
<td><strong>348,019,000¹</strong></td>
<td>1948–2002</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total in United States</strong></td>
<td><strong>927,917,000¹</strong></td>
<td>1947–2002</td>
<td><strong>NM is 37.5 of total U.S.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Production rounded to the nearest 1,000 pounds. There has been no uranium production in New Mexico since 2002. ²Todiito Formation (Cather et al., 2013).
URANIUM MINING DISTRICTS IN NEW MEXICO

(McLemore, 2017; McLemore and Chenoweth, in press)
New Mexico is 2nd in the US in uranium reserves 15 million tons ore at 0.277% U3O8 (84 million lbs U3O8) at $30/lb (DOE)
Historical Production from the Morrison Formation in Grants District

- ~348 million lbs of U$_3$O$_8$ from 1948-2002
- Accounting for 97% of the total uranium production in New Mexico
- More than 30% of the total uranium production in the United States
- 7th largest district in total uranium production in the world
Grants district

- ~348 million lbs of U$_3$O$_8$ have been produced 1948-2002
- ~409 million lbs of U$_3$O$_8$ historic resources have been reported by various companies
- Probably another ~200 million lbs of U$_3$O$_8$ remain to be discovered
- The district contained more than 900 million lbs U$_3$O$_8$
FIG. 1. Grade vs. tonnage plot for uranium in iron oxide-copper-gold (IOCG) deposits and prospects in relationship to the fields for unconformity-related uranium deposits, sandstone-hosted uranium deposits, and Witwatersrand uranium deposits (data from Dahlkamp, 1993). The IOCG deposits have a broad range of uranium contents. Although the giant Olympic Dam deposit has a relatively low uranium grade, it is currently the world’s largest uranium producer.
Why did uranium production cease in New Mexico?

• Three Mile Island produced a public perception in the U.S. that nuclear power was dangerous.
• At the same time, NM uranium deposits in production were decreasing in grade by nearly half.
• Significant changes were beginning to occur that would increase the cost of mine and mill reclamation as well as future permitting in the U.S.
• More attractive, larger, higher grade uranium deposits in Canada, Australia, and Kazakhstan were discovered.
• Large coal deposits were found throughout the U.S. that could meet the nation’s energy needs.
Resources in NM are from DOE which are significantly lower than what industry has identified (http://www.wise-uranium.org/img/uresw.gif)
• There is sufficient uranium reserves to meet the current reactor demand.

• In order for NM deposits to once again be economic—
  – Must build new reactors to increase demand.
  – Wait for reserves at other localities to be depleted by production.
  – Decrease cost of production.
Importance of sandstone uranium deposits in the Grants district

- Major mining companies abandoned the districts after the last cycle leaving advanced uranium projects.
- Inexpensive property acquisition costs includes $$ millions of exploration and development expenditures.
- Availability of data and technical expertise.
- Recent advances in in situ recovery and heap leaching makes sandstone uranium deposits attractive economically.
Geology
Sandstone uranium ore deposits

- Epigenetic concentrations of uranium minerals that occur as uneven impregnations and minor massive replacements in fluvial, lacustrine, and deltaic sandstones
- Low to medium grade (0.05 - 0.6% U₃O₈)
- Small to medium in size (ranging up to a maximum of 50,000 tons U₃O₈)
- Uraninite and coffinite primary minerals
Sandstone uranium deposits

• Medium- to coarse-grained sandstones
  – Includes mudstones through conglomerates

• Uranium precipitated under reducing conditions
  – Carbonaceous materials (detrital plant debris, amorphous humate, marine algae)
  – Hydrocarbons (petroleum, H₂S)
  – Pyrite or other sulfides
  – Interbedded basic volcanics with abundant ferro-magnesian and other minerals (e.g., chlorite, zeolite, Ti oxides)
  – Interface of reducing/oxidizing fluids
Uranium ore

- Uraninite and coffinite are primary minerals
  - Urano-organic complexes
  - Secondary uranium minerals
- Fine-grained
- Occupy intergranular spaces
- Locally replace fossil wood and bones
- Typically follow bedding, but rarely cross cuts bedding
- Well defined boundaries, but gradations are common
Geochemical signature

• U, V, Mo, Se, locally Cu, Ag, Cr, Ra.
• Anomalous radioactivity from daughter products of U.
• Low magnetic susceptibility in and near tabular ores.
Jurassic arc (Kowallis et al., 2001)

Jurassic plutons
(Kowallis et al., 1999; du Bray, 2007)

Jurassic caldera (Lawton and McMillan, 1999)

approximate direction of sedimentation

Jurassic Mexican Borderland rift (Lawton and McMillan, 1999)

POTENTIAL SOURCE
from McLemore (2011)
Methods of uranium recovery

• Conventional mining and milling
  – Higher grade deposits
  – Mineralogy and lithology determine if it is acid or alkaline leach
  – No mills in NM, although there are plans underway for at least 1 mill in the Grants district

• In situ recovery
  – Typically roll front deposits
  – Mineralogy and chemistry important
  – Mo and V interferes with recovery of U
Past ISR in New Mexico

- Mobil at Crownpoint
- UNC-Teton at Section 23
- Grace Nuclear at Hook’s Ranch
- Section 13 north of Seboyeta and Church Rock
- Anaconda at Windwhip, part of the Jackpile Paguate mine
- Mine water recovery from Ambrosia Lake and other mines
Challenges to uranium mining in New Mexico

- More attractive, larger, higher grade uranium deposits in Canada, Australia, and Kazakhstan are being produced.
- Permitting for new ISR and especially for conventional mines and mills will take years to complete in New Mexico.
- Numerous geological and technical issues need to be resolved.
- Closure plans, including reclamation must be developed before mining or leaching begins. Modern regulatory costs will add to the cost of producing uranium in the U.S.
Challenges to uranium mining in New Mexico

• Some communities, especially the Navajo Nation communities, do not view development of uranium properties as favorable. The Navajo Nation has declared that no uranium production will occur on Navajo lands.

• High-grade, low-cost uranium deposits in Canada and Australia are sufficient to meet current international demands; but additional resources will be required to meet near-term future requirements.
SUMMARY

• Sandstone uranium deposits have played a major role in historical uranium production.

• Although other types of uranium deposits are higher in grade and larger in tonnage, sandstone uranium deposits will someday again become a significant player.
  – As ISR and heap leach technologies improve cutting production costs.
  – As demand for uranium increases world-wide increasing the price of uranium.
FUTURE WORK

• Refine our estimates of uranium resource/reserve potential in the state
• Detailed mineralogy studies (XRD and electron microprobe)
• Define the origin of distribution of primary verses redistributed deposits in the San Juan Basin
• Geochemical characteristics of naturally-occurring groundwater that oxidized, remobilized, and redeposited primary tabular or “trend-type” uranium deposits in the Grants district
• Study of clay species in the mineralized zones, and their impacts not only on porosity and permeability characteristics during uranium extraction